	1
1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
2	BEFORE THE
3	TEXAS LOTTERY COMMISSION
4	AUSTIN, TEXAS
5	BINGO ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
6	BINGO ADVISORI COMMITTEE MEETING
7	
8	
9	
10	SEPTEMBER 08, 2021
11	10:00 a.m.
12	(Via Zoom Videoconference)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Proceedings reported by electronic sound recording; transcript prepared by Verbatim Reporting & Transcription
23	LLC.
24	
25	

```
1
                               APPEARANCES
 2
     COMMITTEE MEMBERS:
     William T. Smith III (Trace) - Chairman
 3
     Will Martin
 4
 5
     Tommy Duncan
 6
     Jason Pohl
    Melodye Green
 7
     Veronica Uriegas
 8
 9
     Emile Bourgoyne
10
     Kim Rogers
11
     Corey Harris
12
1.3
     COMMISSION STAFF:
14
     Cindy Fields - Commissioner
15
     Tyler Vance - Assistant General Counsel
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

			3
1		TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	1.	Call to order	PAGE 4
3	2.	American Pledge	
4	3.	Texas Pledge	4
5	4.	Roll Call	4
6 7	5.	Meeting minutes from August 13, 2021 meeting: . a) Public comment b) Approval	5
8910	6.	Pull-tab workgroup:	6
11 12	7.	Temporary submittal on BSP:	27
1314	8.	Old business	51
15 16 17	9.	New business	52
18	10.	Set date for next meeting	54
19	11.	Adjourn	56
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

carry that over? All right. If we've got no comment on the 1 meeting minutes from August 13, 2021, we have a motion in 2 3 place to accept them as printed. All in favor. (Chorus of "ayes") 4 5 CHAIRMAN SMITH: And any opposed? The motion carries. Meeting minutes from August 13th have been 6 7 approved. AGENDA ITEM 6 8 9 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. And here we go 10 fun time, guys. Number six, we've got the pull-tab work 11 group that I know Mr. Emile has been working very diligently 12 on. I know there is a lot of different opinions on this so 13 this ought to be interesting. Emile, do you want to lead 14 this up, sir? 1.5 MR. BOURGOYNE: I can do that, if you can hear 16 me. 17 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes, sir. We can hear you. 18 MR. BOURGOYNE: Okay. Our subcommittee was 19 asked to review the pull-tab bingo rules regarding our new 20 2022 Work Plan. And that work plan requires us to evaluate 21 the impact of COVID-19 on charitable bingo in Texas and to 22 identify potential rule or procedural changes that may help 2.3 the organization to address any related challenges. 24 We sent out notice to the subcommittee members 2.5 and all manufacturers on Sunday, August the 15th. To that

respect, we copied the rules and we gave them the two points
that we brought up in our BAC meeting prior to that. Number
one being the Texas seal, number two being the more than two
instant requirements on a bingo event game.

And I got comments back from a couple manufacturers and a couple of distributors and, in a nutshell, from the manufacturer's perspective, removing the Texas seal would not open up any additional inventory to Texas distributors in the short-term. We're just not sitting on any non-Texas seal product that would be available for Texas.

In the long-term, the manufacturers were in agreement that any time you remove a specific requirement for a pull-tab ticket in Texas that doesn't pertain to any other state, you create a unique product, a shorter product run, and we would discourage anything to do that going forward down the road. It would help long-term, but not -- won't be no short-term fixes.

Basically the same is true for removing more than two instant winners. Again, short-term it's not going to solve any problems, but long-term, going down the road, as manufacturers make product, they can make product that can sell in multiple states, therefore increasing the production runs and moving them further up the priority list in their planning.

Me did get another suggestion from another manufacturer about removing the prize amounts and number of winners for each prize, et cetera, and the cost per ticket, and maybe removing the language about the graphic symbols and then also the image size requirements. So that's the unique requirement that both Texas and Minnesota have that keeps the symbol image certain distance away from the die-cut edges. And I can tell you NAFTM would oppose changing any of those rules, because they're all security measures for the product, and we support those rules as they are.

2.3

One of the other manufacturers submitted a request to remove the packing slip requirement and they were not aware that we had already done that about a year ago.

So that pretty much sums up all the information I received back from the subcommittee members and the manufacturers. And so, bottom line, in the immediate future there's nothing in the rules that we can foresee that would change that would open up new product to Texas on the short-term.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Emile, this is Trace, you talk about the short-term, but you did say in the long-term it could help productivity, or would it help pricing? What would be the benefit of removing it for the long-term basis?

MR. BOURGOYNE: Again, any time you have to manufacture a specific product for a specific market, you're

narrowing the amount of product that's going there, so you're 1 narrowing the production run. And any time you narrow the 2 3 production run, you're decreasing the priority of that product getting put on the planning charts at a 5 manufacturer's level. And, again, Texas is not the only state that 6 7 requires a seal. There's one required in New Hampshire. 8 law passed in New York this past session to require one. 9 They haven't implemented it yet, but there are other markets 10 that require seals. We tell the same things to those 11 markets. Every time you put a specific requirement for a 12 ticket just for your market, you create a unique product line 13 and you're decreasing our ability to run that product to sell 14 in other states or you're eliminating our ability to sell 15 that product in other states. Therefore, the production run 16 is smaller. 17 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Gotcha. So, security-wise, 18 and this is going to be some overlap here, I guess on the 19 Facebook stuff, I've had a lot of people ask me, if we do 20 vote to recommend the seal be taken off, security-wise how 21 would that help or hurt on the -- are you there? 22 MR. BOURGOYNE: Yes. 23 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. Sorry. It clicked, 24 the screen clicked off on my end. How would it help or hurt

the Facebook gaming? The security stuff? Is that a ready

- 1 | identifier that could hurt or help later on down the line?
- 2 Do you understand what I'm asking? I know that's kind of
- 3 bunched together, but --
- MR. BOURGOYNE: Well, I'm going to take a shot
- 5 at it. Basically our product is tracked like a car or a gun;
- 6 make, model, and serial number. And we don't track a serial
- 7 | number like some states do, but we track our product by make,
- 8 model, and serial number and we can trace that product back
- 9 to the distributor we shipped it to with those three items,
- 10 or even just a serial number in some cases.
- The seal does nothing to prevent the product
- 12 from being played on Facebook Live, does nothing. And there
- 13 | are Texas seal tickets being played on Facebook Live. We've
- 14 seen them.
- 15 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes.
- 16 MR. BOURGOYNE: Again, we trace the product by
- 17 | the serial number.
- 18 CHAIRMAN SMITH: You don't think the seal is a
- 19 do or die item for having it on there or not having it on
- 20 | there to help with --
- 21 MR. BOURGOYNE: That's a Texas Lottery
- 22 decision. They wanted that on their product, that's why they
- 23 have it in their rules.
- 24 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Sure.
- MR. BOURGOYNE: But it's certainly not

```
1 | something that the manufacturers encourage any state to do.
```

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Right. So it's not a benefit

for security is what you're saying. I think that's what I

4 hear you say.

MR. BOURGOYNE: Not from our standpoint. It
may make the Lottery feel more comfortable, but not from our
standpoint. Again, we track by make, model, and serial

8 number.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. I see that --

MR. BOURGOYNE: And one other thing.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes, sir.

MR. BOURGOYNE: One other thing, Trace. With regards to removing the two instant winners, we were very — there was a lot of concern about if the instant winners being removed would somehow make those games interpreted as bingo games and not pull-tab bingo games, and therefore, subject to the bingo session prize limitations. So there was a lot of caution urged and research to be done by Legal before we move in that direction.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. Let me circle back to that because I got a question on that, too. I noticed that Tyler is on. If I may, Tyler Vance, ask you a question from maybe the Lottery standpoint if you have one yet.

MR. VANCE: Sure.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Whether or not the Lottery

Commission feels as if the Texas seal being on the pull-tabs is important enough to maybe get some pushback from if we decide to try to vote to take that off.

1.5

I think long-term it definitely would benefit the tab manufacturers and the industry getting products a little easier. I think it'd take six months or more to be able to even go through that rulemaking process. But does the Lottery have a stance on that, Tyler, that you're aware of?

MR. VANCE: Well, first, I would caution that I'm just a staff member. I am not the Lottery Commission, so this would be coming from you guys as a recommendation to the Commissioners to propose this rule, and then the five Commissioners would be the ones who make this decision. And I assume they would ask me what I think about it, or ask LaDonna what she thinks about it.

And speaking for myself, I think that the idea is that this thing was included years ago as a tracking mechanism, as something that allowed investigators to be able to quickly ascertain whether or not a pull-tab was legal or not. And I think, as we've all discussed, we recognize that there are other ways of doing that, namely, shipping logs, purchase logs --

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Sure.

MR. VANCE: We can pretty easily figure out if

a pull-tab is illegal or not. Perhaps not as easily as we could with a logo on there, but that's, that just weighs our interest against the industry's interests. Obviously the manufacturers, it's easier for them to make things with fewer restrictions on them, with fewer requirements on them. So I recognize that.

I don't know what the Commissioners, what their decision would be. And, of course, we have to run all these rules by the governor's office. I don't know what their position on it would be.

But as a staff person, I understand that the staff's interest in this requirement is mitigated by the fact there are other ways to identify illegal pull-tabs. And it would obviously benefit the manufacturers and presumably then distributors and charities.

Although you guys just mentioned one thing that kind of piqued my ears a little bit is that it helps when you're watching games on Facebook that you know that it's a Texas ticket because you see a TLC logo on it. So that could help with the enforcement of Texas illegal bingo activities. That if you guys, if you see the TLC logo on Facebook, you know that, that leaked out of a Texas-licensed facility at some point. So in that regard it may be helpful in kind of slowing down this Facebook situation, but --

CHAIRMAN SMITH:

So, Tyler, maybe -- I'm a lay

```
person, and you all know that. I'm kind of big red crayon
 1
 2
             So clear this up for me. You said that if it's a
    Texas seal on a Facebook Live type deal that that would've
 3
    had to come from a Texas distributor?
 5
                    MR. DUNCAN: That's not necessarily true.
                    MR. VANCE: Yeah. No that's a good -- yeah.
 6
 7
    So it --
 8
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yeah I'm asking because --
 9
                    MR. VANCE: Well, it's from a Texas facility,
10
    a licensee.
                  I mean, it either came -- either a Texas
11
    licensed manufacturer sold it to this person, a distributor
    sold it to this person, or a charity sold it, or it was
12
13
    stolen from one of those three people. At least that way we
14
    know that when we see that ticket on Facebook, that to some
15
    extent a Texas bingo licensee was involved in that. Which
16
    gives us --
17
                    MR. DUNCAN: That's not necessarily true.
18
    They sell our tickets in other municipalities outside of
19
    Texas with the Texas seal on them.
20
                    MR. VANCE: All right. I see. Okay.
21
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. So there's other -- so
22
    from what I understand, manufacturers sell Texas stamped
23
    tickets in other jurisdictions and that there's no
24
    administrative rule or Bingo Enabling Act violation by them
```

doing that. Is that correct, Tommy?

```
MR. DUNCAN: That's -- this is Tommy Duncan.
 1
 2
    Yeah. As far as I know. I mean, we seen one on Facebook
    that had our logo on it, and it was sold to a distributor in
 3
    New York.
 5
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH:
                                     Okay.
                    MR. DUNCAN: From the manufacturer.
 6
 7
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. So if I understand
    correctly, and I may be completely wrong -- this is Trace
 8
 9
    again -- having the Texas seal on it doesn't necessarily mean
10
    it came from Texas. And with the Lottery Commission kind of
11
    handcuffed to not being able to go after these unlicensed
12
    entities, they don't -- it's my understanding they don't have
13
    the jurisdiction, which is not their fault, we're seeing
14
    Texas tabs in other jurisdictions.
15
                    So could it possibly mean that the Texas seal
16
    really doesn't accomplish what we want it to if it's being
17
    sold in other jurisdictions? Anybody?
18
                    MR. BOURGOYNE: Well, I've got my hand up.
19
    You want me to speak up?
20
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Absolutely. Yeah.
21
    see who's got their hand up or not.
22
                    MR. BOURGOYNE: Okay. Okay. This is Emile
23
    Bourgoyne.
```

being sold on Facebook Live is illegal.

For the record, every deal of pull-tabs that's

24

```
1 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes, sir.
```

- 2 MR. BOURGOYNE: Whether it has a Texas seal or
- 3 | not. Every deal that's being sold on Facebook Live is
- 4 illegal.
- 5 Yes, manufacturers do sell Texas seal product
- 6 outside of the state of Texas, particularly if a production
- 7 | run died in Texas and we can't no longer sell it in Texas,
- 8 | we'll flush it out somewhere else.
- 9 CHAIRMAN SMITH: And that's not illegal.
- 10 Right, Emile?
- MR. BOURGOYNE: There's nothing to prevent us
- 12 from doing that, in my opinion, anywhere.
- 13 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Right.
- MS. ROGERS: This is Kim Rogers. I have a
- 15 question, please.
- 16 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes, ma'am.
- 17 MS. ROGERS: Tyler, if we go on to Facebook
- 18 and you see that it's a tab and it's Texas seal, are you
- 19 | saying -- I'm sorry, my internet kind of went in and out a
- 20 little bit. Are you saying that you have assistance to stop
- 21 | those games from going on or do anything about it? Or.
- 22 MR. VANCE: No. I just think it's an extra --
- 23 | so the big issues when we get Facebook complaints is do we
- 24 have jurisdiction?
- MS. ROGERS: Right.

MR. VANCE: First of all, we don't know where this thing's happening. We don't know half the time these people have fake names and stuff. So it's just one more piece of evidence. If we see a Texas seal, we see a name that's on the registry, then I would assume this person stole these from a hall.

It's one more piece of evidence that could help us try to track these down. It's not a great piece of evidence. It's not going to immediately lead to us being able to resolve this. But it's just a consideration that when you guys were mentioning it I thought, well, that's just another piece of information that we can use to try and track these guys down.

I'm not saying that it's necessarily going to result in us tracking them down or that there aren't, as Emile says, I don't think there's anything wrong with selling Texas pull-tabs to another state as long as that state allows them. So there are legal ways in which these things can get out of the state of Texas.

And Emile's right, there are no legal ways in which they can be played online. But the fact that they show up online doesn't necessarily mean that a Texas licensee has violated the law. But it is, just from my perspective, it's just another piece of useful information to try to track these down.

But these cases are kind of so nebulous that just because we see a TLC logo on Facebook, that by itself isn't going to solve anything.

MS. ROGERS: Right. So it doesn't stop it.

And you are correct. All the ones I've seen, they have fake names. So thank you.

MR. VANCE: Yeah.

MS. GREEN: Tyler, this is Melodye Green. And I've seen these pull-tabs for sale on Amazon. I -- at Amazon I just put in pull-tabs for sale and there were several pull-tabs, tabs that I cannot even get any more and they were for sale on Amazon. And they were for sale on other websites.

So they're not even -- so you said, I guess somebody did steal it somehow, but not necessarily the charity worker doing it, but you can go on Amazon and buy pull-tabs and they'll deliver them to your house. The same ones we used to sell.

MR. VANCE: Okay. Yeah, I'm not saying this is a do or die factor. I just think it's an important thing to consider in whether or not you guys want to recommend leaving the seal or taking it out. There are obviously advantages to taking it out for the industry, and there are some disadvantages and it's just a matter of whether the Commissioners think those advantages outweigh the disadvantages.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thanks, Tyler. We appreciate that. This is Trace once again.

2.3

Just an old East Texas redneck speaking here. If the seal is on the pull-tabs, in my opinion, if the seal is on there for a security purpose to make sure that the tabs that the halls are using in Texas are Texas tabs, I think historically the Commission and the industry were both worried in the early days of the pull-tabs. I think the intent was to try to make sure we didn't have tabs in Texas that were not Texas-legal tabs. That's where the state seal came on and that's why we used it.

I think in this day and age, any hall operators or charities themselves that are actively running a bingo hall, I don't think -- I think the compliance is probably going to be close to 100 percent on getting legal Texas stamped pull-tabs. I'm going to go out on a limb there and say it's probably close to 100 percent.

So if the Texas tabs are being sold in other jurisdictions with the stamp on it, I personally don't really see the need to have a Texas stamp on the tabs that we use. If they were only being sold in Texas and that was the complete identifier for a Texas legal tab, I can understand leaving it on there.

But if it's being sold in New York and Arizona and Florida and everywhere else, I really can't fathom

- 1 | recommending keeping the Texas seal on the pull-tab itself if
- 2 | it's being sold in other jurisdictions. It defeats the
- 3 purpose. And if that's what makes it harder for the
- 4 manufacturers to get pull-tabs to the state of Texas, even in
- 5 | the long run, just as a matter of prudence, personally I
- 6 | would say what do we need it for?
- 7 MR. HARRIS: And Trace, if I could add
- 8 | something, this is Corey Harris.
- 9 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yeah.
- 10 MR. HARRIS: I'm right there along with you.
- 11 If we had the seals on the tabs for security purposes, we had
- 12 established the last time we all met that there is really no
- 13 enforcements of these securities, there's no entity that's
- 14 investigating or attempting to police these things, so
- 15 security against what is my main question.
- 16 I mean, if they serve a security purpose, what
- 17 | security and who's enforcing that security? If nobody is,
- 18 | then it's just an extra step. And it's, to this point,
- 19 causing us a log jam in our supply chain.
- 20 CHAIRMAN SMITH: I think that pretty much sums
- 21 | up how I'm looking at it as well. And I know that's a
- 22 | practical matter and I look at it practically. But I was
- 23 | told if we do try to remove the seal -- and, Tyler, this may
- 24 be a question for you. If there's a tab, like, I'm just
- 25 | going to use an example here.

If there's a tab that already has a Texas seal 1 2 on it and the rule does get repealed, does that tab have to go through a re-submission process, or is it going to be kind 3 of like a rubber stamp and go, hey look, this was the same 5 There's no changes except they're taking the seal off, rubber stamp this through. 6 7 MR. VANCE: No. 8 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Or is it a complete process 9 to go all the way back through? 10 MR. VANCE: No. We could grandfather any 11 previously approved tab, provided that nothing else changed 12 except for the removal of the seal would remain approved. 1.3 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Excellent. Excellent. 14 That's wonderful. 1.5 Trace, Emile has his hand raised. MS. ROGERS: 16 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. Emile. 17 MR. BOURGOYNE: Yes. Trace? 18 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes, sir. 19 MR. BOURGOYNE: Thank you. 20 What I wanted to point out, there's a huge 21 difference of printing that Texas seal on each and every 22 ticket than printing that seal on the flare that has to be 2.3 posted in plain sight of the players. We could use those 24 same deals in every other state. And for Texas, it's not 2.5 that difficult to put a seal on just the flare. The problem

is on each and every ticket.

If you understand what -- we can box those deals up and we could take Texas flares and put on them, and then take Minnesota flares and put on them, and then take Louisiana flares and put on them. If -- they may have their seal on the flare. Big difference putting the seal on every ticket, versus just a flare for the game.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Gotcha. I completely understand that. And I don't have any issues with that at all, either.

MR. DUNCAN: I agree that it's not going to help us right now. I don't know that -- this is Tommy

Duncan. I don't know that it'll really help long-term,

because in normal times we weren't having delivery problems to begin with. We're in these uncertain times, and it's not going to help us right now. But we also got to consider if you take the seal off, what's to say people don't start flooding product in to these bingo halls and people quit being compliant? Follow what I'm saying?

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yeah. I do follow what you're saying. That's a good point, Tommy. It's a real good point. And I think, and I'm not -- I can't speak for everyone, but -- and maybe this would be a question for Tyler, maybe. If -- are there a lot of instances where halls

are using illegal pull-tabs in the hall? 1 2 MR. VANCE: Not that I'm aware of, but we haven't conducted in-person inspections in well over a year 3 now. But historically I don't know that it's that big of a 5 problem. But maybe that's because it's so easy to get caught. If you don't have a Texas seal on it, that -- those 6 7 things stand out like a sore thumb. 8 CHAIRMAN SMITH: You have a point there. 9 MS. URIEGAS: I have a question. This is 10 Veronica. 11 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes, ma'am. 12 MS. URIEGAS: So Tommy, this is probably for 1.3 you or Emile. In other states, are they having -- prior to 14 COVID, are they having other illegal pull-tabs sold in those 15 states? Or how is that addressed? I mean, is it a huge

MR. DUNCAN: Well -- this is Tommy Duncan.

I'm going to let Emile jump on that, but I will just say not

they're not supposed to?

issue of the distributor buying them from somewhere they're

not supposed to or the charity buying them from somewhere

16

17

18

21 all states are as regulated as we are, and I believe there

22 was a case in Mississippi where they caught the bingo hall

23 selling illegal product, so. But what's to stop the

24 charities in Texas from buying online, like Melodye said? If

25 | we don't need the seal, and you don't record it, who would

1 | ever know?

MS. GREEN: Well -- this is Melodye again. A

lot of these products you can buy online do have the Texas

4 | seal on them.

1.5

5 MR. DUNCAN: But I'm saying there's got to be 6 some out there that don't as well.

MS. GREEN: Right. Right.

MS. ROGERS: This is Kim Rogers. In my opinion, if there is a hall or a person out there that's going to purchase tabs illegally, they're going to do it whether it has the seal on it or not. So that hall -- you still have the rules that you must purchase from a licensed distributor, correct? So, I mean, you can still trace it by that. Just because it does or does not have the seal on it, it's not going to stop someone who -- in my opinion. That's all.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well and, Kim -- this is

Trace. And to the point about pull-tabs not having the seal
on it, you can buy Texas-printed bingo paper as well. It's
not that hard to buy Texas paper outside of Texas so I'm
being told.

And once you get through the audit process, I think the Lottery Commission is good enough to be able to tell if they're using illegal paper or illegal pull-tabs. I think they --

MS. ROGERS: Absolutely. And I --1 2 CHAIRMAN SMITH: -- they're doing a fine job during their audit process to go through the ins and outs and 3 pick up on that stuff. 4 5 MS. ROGERS: Absolutely. And let me just say, any audit that I've been a part of, you have to prove where 6 7 you bought the event tabs, pull-tabs. You have to have 8 serial numbers. You have to have your log in order. I mean, 9 that doesn't -- whether it had the seal or not, they're going 10 to know, because they also contact your distributor that you 11 bought it from. 12 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes. Yeah. The sales and 13 the inventory just wouldn't match up. And I think that we 14 may be overtalking this a little bit and maybe over 1.5 scrutinizing it or looking under a microscope at it if you 16 will. 17 But what I would look to do and just the 18 concerns for time, I would like to come back to this 19 workgroup, give everybody an opportunity to think about some 20 of the points we've made today, and maybe at the next in-21 person meeting maybe possibly be ready to take a vote on 22 whether or not to ask for the removal of the seal or not. 2.3 Does anybody have any heartburn about that? 24 MR. MARTIN: No.

No.

MR. HARRIS:

I'm okay with that.

26

```
MS. ROGERS: I'm fine.
 1
 2
                    MS. GREEN: Yes. That's -- yeah.
 3
                    MR. BOURGOYNE: Fine.
                    MS. GREEN: Yeah.
 4
 5
                    MS. URIEGAS: Me, too.
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Emile, we're
 6
 7
    going to put you on for next time around and I'm sure we'll
 8
    be talking to you back and forth. And then if we do feel
 9
    like we're going to ask the Commission to remove the seal,
10
    that'll give Tyler and the staff time to draft things and run
11
    it up the flagpole at the governor's office and give
12
    everybody a little bit more time to get their ducks in a row,
13
    so to speak.
14
                    MR. BOURGOYNE: Trace, it's Emile.
1.5
                    Again, I would recommend that we move the seal
16
    from the tickets to the flare as opposed to eliminating it
17
    all together. It still might give the Lottery some feel-
```

CHAIRMAN SMITH: And I really like that idea as well. I really like that idea. So let's all take some time on that and kind of think about it and roll it around and we will revisit at this at the next BAC meeting to maybe kind of work with staff a little bit, see how they're feeling about it, and amongst ourselves as well.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

good, if you will.

I know there is -- it's hard on a Zoom call to

get everybody's two cents worth, if you will. And I don't
want to leave anybody out on this, so we'll take this back up
at the next BAC meeting.

Is there any public comment that we need to have on this workgroup? Oh, public comment aren't allowed. My bad. Sorry. Okay.

1.3

1.5

AGENDA ITEM 7

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, we're not going to have a call to action on this one this time, so we'll skip on down to number 7; temporary submittal on the Bingo Service Portal. And this is Ms. Kim Rogers' workgroup. Kim, take it away.

MS. ROGERS: Good morning. Kim Rogers here.

A while back, and I don't know the exact date, quite a few people in the industry noticed that if you were just an operator, designated representative, designated operator, you were not allowed to apply for temporaries.

This issue came up in trying to figure out what happened, we formed a workgroup at the last meeting.

And I got with Tyler Vance to ask when did this come about?

What's the rule, et cetera.

The Rule is 402.400 if any of you all want to pull that up. And the way I read it states that officers, directors, operators, and authorized representatives are allowed to file an application. So when I talked to Tyler, he let me know that in the past, people have been able to

- apply for this, yet on the paper form, FORMID 16, it was a
 little different, so they tried to make them both be the
 same. How about if that -- if that's the wordage, put them
 in line to be together and ask -- request the same person to
- 5 sign.

- The paper form requires two signatures. Your

 BSP is not -- doesn't do that, and we don't want it to. But

 if you have only officers or directors -- so right now it's

 limited to officers, directors, I believe, and chairperson,

 which, your chairperson has to be an officer or director

 anyway. Those are the only ones that can apply for a

 temporary.
 - In speaking with Veronica, we completely agree that what we need to change is probably the paper, FORMID 16, to only require one signature, therefore, it's in line with the BSP.
 - And we think -- so, members we're asking you all's opinion. We think that officers, directors, bookkeeper, and operator, so the only one we're adding to the rule is bookkeeper, is who we should ask the Commissioners to be able to sign for these. And I would like anyone; members, if you'd like to comment on that.
 - CHAIRMAN SMITH: This is Trace. Kim, I have a question. Are the bookkeepers allowed to be operators?

 MS. ROGERS: Yes, sir. As far as I know.

```
Yes. They are.
 1
 2
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. And then -- and --
 3
                    MS. ROGERS: The only rules -- the only rule
    for an operator is that they must be a member of the
 4
 5
    organization.
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. So if a bookkeeper's
 6
 7
    an operator, then that's --
                    MS. ROGERS: Good point. Good point. Yep.
 8
 9
    Then it's a moot point putting the bookkeeper on there.
10
                    So as it sits right now, the way I read it,
11
    Veronica I ask you, officers, directors, and operators should
12
    be able to apply for a temporary online, correct?
13
                    MS. URIEGAS: I'm no lawyer, but that's the
14
    way I see it, and -- yes. Yeah. I'll just leave it at that.
1.5
                    MS. ROGERS: Okay. So, Tyler, can you explain
16
    to us why -- and I understand and I've said we tried -- you
17
    all tried to put it in line with the paper form. But why can
18
    an operator not apply for a temporary any longer?
19
                    MR. VANCE: So the law on this is in the
20
              It's in 2001.103. And it says -- so there's
21
    another section on normal licenses, like an annual license.
22
    And an annual license under the law specifically requires
23
    certain people to fill it out. A temporary license under the
24
    law is submitted, quote, on a form prescribed by the
25
    Commission.
```

So there are no explicit requirements for what's on a temporary license form, and so it's totally up to the Commission. And what happened in this case is that historically the Commission has decided that the paper form had to be signed by both a chairperson and then an officer, director, or designated director. And at some point, years ago, former Bingo director allowed for other folks, operators and bookkeepers, to submit requests on BSP.

1.5

And so it was brought to my attention a couple months ago that there were two different standards. And so I said, well, just go to whatever that the paper form requires, those two -- make sure BSP requires the same thing. I don't want two different standards for the same application.

And part of the discussion we had why does the paper form require these things? And they said, well, the idea that it was discussed years ago that they wanted a chairperson to sign it to ensure that the organization was more involved in the use of their license, was more aware of their organization's request of temporary licenses. So that's why it was set up the way it is. The paper one.

Whether or not that's necessary is open for debate, and it's certainly not required. The law allows the Commission to prescribe the form however they see fit. So if the Commissioners decided that they wanted to allow bookkeepers to sign this, then that would be fine legally.

1 MS. ROGERS: Okay.

MR. VANCE: But, yeah, there is the

3 consideration of to what extent do we want to allow auxiliary

4 folks to apply for licenses.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As Trace points out, it's pretty common. Or I don't know about if it's common, but it's common amongst the larger organizations to have their bookkeepers put as designated operators, designated directors, so that they can sign these things. But even then, you still need a chairperson's signature. So that only solves half of the

10 chairperson's signature. So that only solves half of the 11 problem if you see this as a problem.

The rule that you sent me, 402.400, that was changed when you told them to make it all the same?

MS. ROGERS: So I have a question for you.

MR. VANCE: No, no --

MS. ROGERS: Because it lists operators as able to apply for a temporary.

MR. VANCE: Yeah. So it says, for purposes of the subsection, which is general license provisions, it says, an individual shall be recognized as an applicant or the licensee's authorized representative only if they file with the Commission in the form prescribed, identifying them as listed as a director, officer, or operator, or an authorized representative.

So this is really splitting hairs here, but

- this rule -- and this is what I initially sent you when you 1 2 asked me what law applied here. This rule is saying that we'll recognize -- we'll accept a submission from these 3
- people. These are the people we'll talk to in regards to a
- 5 license application. It doesn't necessarily mean that these
- are the people who are going to be granted an application. 6
- 7 The license form is more specific.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So these are general provisions of licensing and communication between licensees, applicants, and the agency. Whereas, the issue here is the temporary application -- the temporary license application form itself specifically requires only the chairperson, officer, or director.

So it's -- the form itself is more narrow than this rule is, but that's, again, the Commission decides what the form says so that the form can be made more general. could be made to allow for directors, officers, or operators.

But this rule is more of a general guideline for our communication, our interactions with licensees and their representatives, who among the licensees that the agency will discuss things with, will accept things from. But as far as actually requesting and granting a temporary license the form, Form 16, specifically requires the chairperson and the officer, director, or operator.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: If I may real quick; this is 25 Trace again.

So when this got changed, and maybe my brain's 1 2 just not working, but when this got changed, I don't remember this in a meeting. Did I miss that meeting completely? I 3 mean, did this go through the, like, rule-change process or 5 was this just an administrative deal that was just done and 6 7 MR. VANCE: I think this was just an administrative deal. It sounds like Michael Farrell may have 8 9 done it and I don't remember him asking me about it. I don't 10 know. 11 Like I said, there's nothing legally wrong 12 with opening it up. But I think there's something legally 13 wrong with having the form require one thing and BSP require 14 another. 1.5 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Sure. 16 MR. VANCE: So when that was brought to my 17 attention, I said, well, we're going to go with the form 18 that's the more strict one. So that's apparently what the 19 Commission wanted. 20 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Right. 21 That's the way it was before it MR. VANCE: 22 was changed. 23 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Tyler, I don't know what the 24 -- I don't really know the process of things like that. 25 like, when something like that is changed, is there a notice

```
sent out or is it on an email blast? Or, hey, listen, we're
 1
 2
    changing this or is it on the website? Or how do the
 3
    organizations get notified of changes like that?
                    MR. VANCE: Sure. So it is -- it's kind of a
 4
 5
    unique thing in administrative law. It's not really a rule,
    so it's not subject to the Administrative Procedures Act. It
 6
    doesn't require public notice or anything like that.
 7
    just kind of like an internal process change.
 8
 9
                    When the law says, as prescribed by the
10
    Commission, typically that allows the director to take that
11
    action unilaterally.
12
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: And I'm not questioning the
13
    authority of the Lottery Commission in any way, shape, or
14
    form.
1.5
                    MR. VANCE:
                                Sure.
16
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: I guess more what I'm asking
17
    is, how do the organizations know that change has happened?
18
                    MR. VANCE: That should have been accompanied
19
    by an email blast or at least a header or something.
2.0
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH:
                                    Right.
                    MR. VANCE: But it's not subject to the
21
22
    Administrative Procedures Act where it needs to be formally
    noticed and proposed and adopted. It's more -- it's
23
24
    considered like a day to day administration of the agency,
2.5
    that the agency has kind of immediate discretion over.
```

Sure. I think, and I don't 1 CHAIRMAN SMITH: 2 remember if there was an email blast sent out on this to let 3 people know, hey, this is changing. In the case of temporaries, a lot of times it's kind of a last-minute thing 5 that we're rushing to go do and we've got to go get signatures and everything. And if something changes 6 7 midstream without the industry knowing it, it can kind of flip over a few rocks with people that are trying to do 8 9 things on a time schedule. And then if something changes and 10 they're not aware of it, it does kind of get those people a 11 little upset. And rightfully so. If something changes and 12 they're not made aware of it, it kind of upsets them a little 13 bit. 14 And, Kim, did you get an email blast about 15 this? Or anybody? I don't deal with this very often, so I 16 don't know if an email blast was sent out or not. 17 MS. URIEGAS: This is Veronica. And I can 18 tell you, I did not. And I'm -- I was actually in the 19 process of getting temporary licenses and I had to call Alice 20 and -- Alice Banks, and she had to inform me of the way it 21 was changed. 22 I do have a question just so I understand 23 everything. We -- so we started the BSP -- or the BSPs 24 kicked in and we started using it. Then the temporaries were 25 added to be able to do them online. Was it Mike Farrell that

- decided that we were able to only have one signature at that
- 2 point? Is that what --
- 3 MR. VANCE: Yes. That's my understanding of
- 4 the situation. Yeah.
- 5 MS. URIEGAS: Okay.
- 6 MR. VANCE: Is that somebody -- somebody
- 7 requested with him to make it easier to do it on BSP and he
- 8 | said, sure, go for it.
- 9 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Gotcha.
- MS. URIEGAS: Thank you. Just wanted to
- 11 clarify that.
- 12 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. All right.
- MS. ROGERS: So -- and to answer your
- 14 question, Trace, no. Not to my knowledge did we ever receive
- 15 anything like that.
- 16 And when you have an organization that's
- 17 | trying to do everything accordingly, to be perfect with all
- 18 | the rules and regulations that we have, you are correct,
- 19 being thrown this is a huge curve ball that it's difficult to
- 20 try -- when you're a bookkeeper or an operator, you've given
- 21 | all of your information. You've had a background check. You
- 22 | -- the lottery has everything on you. So there is no --
- 23 | there should not be, I don't think, a reason why an operator
- 24 or bookkeeper cannot apply for a temporary. And still,
- 25 | 402.400 says operators can, yet on the BSP today you cannot,

1 so.

1.3

2 CHAIRMAN SMITH: So are you recommending a 3 rule change?

MS. ROGERS: I am recommending that we ask the Commissioners that on the BSP, officers, directors, and I think we should list bookkeepers, because some may not be operators, and operators, should be allowed to apply for temporaries.

MS. URIEGAS: This is Veronica. I also have a question, I guess. Operators are allowed, or are not allowed, to print temporary licenses? Tyler, I guess that's for you.

MR. VANCE: They're allowed to print them. So we made that rule change last year in the rule review to allow operators and I believe bookkeepers, to go online and print the license, but not apply for them.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. Tyler, and this is just an opinion question, and I see what you were trying to do here by making the two pages the same color crayon, if you will. I like crayons. I get that. I totally understand it. Do you think there's a security risk or a negative impact that it would maybe affect security or something by doing it with just the one person, like what's Kim -- what Kim's asking? Is there a negative side to doing that?

MR. VANCE: Well, the security risk, again, is

```
going to be that right now it requires a signature of two
 1
 2
    people. So at least two people in the organization need to
    be aware of this and need to sign off on it. So if you --
 3
    even if you just took that down to one, whether it be the
 4
 5
    chairperson --
                    MS. URIEGAS: That's incorrect. That's
 6
 7
    incorrect, because you can do it with one person online.
 8
    it's not two people that are not aware of it.
 9
                    MR. VANCE: Okay. Well, the paper form
10
    requires two signatures.
11
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Right.
12
                    MR. VANCE: And so, in my mind, although I'm
13
    not currently the director anymore, so in my mind the paper
14
    and the online should be the same. If the paper required
15
    something, there's no reason the online should require less.
16
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Right.
17
                    MR. VANCE:
                               That just seems kind of arbitrary
18
    to me.
```

So the paper requires two signatures. So taking it down to one signature, even if that one person is a bonafide member, an officer or whatever, again it's, but you could take this the other way, too. Should we have four people sign it? I mean, how many people need to be involved in this?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The general idea again is that we want the

- 1 organization to be aware of their use of temporary licenses.
- 2 And you can make a reasonable argument that a bookkeeper is
- 3 | so involved in the organization's day-to-day operations and
- 4 | is so trusted, that it's perfectly fine to allow just a
- 5 bookkeeper to sign off on it.
- 6 You could make a reasonable argument that
- 7 | maybe every officer of the charity needs to sign off on it.
- 8 | It's just a matter of what -- as a policy matter, what amount
- 9 of involvement by bonafide members of the charity do you want
- 10 | to ensure that the charity knows what's going on with its
- 11 license?
- 12 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Tyler, is -- has there been a
- 13 lot of instances where charities are unaware of themselves
- 14 playing temporaries and somebody did something that wasn't
- 15 | legal or inappropriate with the money from a temporary
- 16 session?
- 17 MR. VANCE: I don't know anything
- 18 | specifically, but just anecdotally talk -- when I was talking
- 19 about this with a staff member, as I said, years ago that was
- 20 an issue. They had a few enforcement cases where the
- 21 | charities would come in and they'd say, oh, we didn't even
- 22 know that we had all these temporary occasions.
- 23 And so that was kind of -- the idea back then, the reason it
- 24 got shifted to require two signatures, was because they were
- 25 | seeing cases with any number of problems. But it got

```
revealed on a lot of those cases that in addition to the
 1
 2
    other problems they had, it was just, in general, the
    charities weren't that involved, in general. And so this
 3
    was, I think, seen as one more avenue to get the charities
 5
    more directly involved in supervising their bingo activity.
 6
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay.
 7
                    MS. ROGERS:
                                I have a question, please, Tyler.
    Do the emails still go out -- when you apply for a temporary
 8
 9
    and a temporary is approved, is there not an email that goes
10
    out to the chairperson?
11
                    MR. VANCE: I think there is. Yeah.
                                                          I think
12
    that's correct.
13
                    MS. ROGERS: So your chairperson, Trace, is
14
    always notified, whether it be the bookkeeper, or operator,
15
    director, or officer, that applies for a temporary. They're
16
    always notified.
17
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ah.
                                          So it --
18
                    MS. URIEGAS: This is Veronica. Yes.
19
    going to second that. That is correct.
20
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay.
21
                    MS. ROGERS: So to take the stance that a
22
    charity didn't know, well, what's your chairperson doing?
    You follow me?
2.3
24
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yeah.
                                            I do now.
                                                       Okay.
```

if I understand this correct, what you're saying, Kim, is

25

- 1 | that if a bookkeeper applies for a license, signs it, sends
- 2 | it off, gets the temporary license in the process of
- 3 | approval, that an email is generated from the Lottery
- 4 | Commission and it goes to the chairperson who is not the
- 5 | bookkeeper. So you're basically nailing down two different
- 6 people of, hey, here's the catch, you all are applying for
- 7 | this. Is that what I'm understanding, Kim?
- 8 MS. ROGERS: Yes. As far as I know, that's
- 9 still happening.
- 10 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Is the common for the
- 11 | bookkeeper to be the Bingo chairperson?
- MS. ROGERS: Not that I know of. Maybe Tyler
- 13 could answer that. I don't know.
- MR. VANCE: Yeah. The chairperson's got to be
- 15 | a bonafide member of the organization. It's not uncommon for
- 16 | the bookkeeper to be a designated operator or a designated
- 17 director or officer. But you can't be a designated
- 18 | chairperson.
- 19 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay.
- MS. URIEGAS: This is Veronica. Yes. A
- 21 | chairperson in some instances are the bookkeeper as well.
- 22 MR. VANCE: Yeah. I -- so if you were --
- 23 | let's say you're a bingo hall that's truly ran by the
- 24 | charity. Like, let's say, I don't know, VFW hall where
- 25 everybody that works in that place is a VFW member, then the

```
bookkeeper would also be a bonafide member. Organization
 1
 2
    can't make anybody a designated member for the purposes of
    the bingo license. So if the bookkeeper -- like I couldn't
 3
    be a member of the VFW because I didn't serve in a combat
 5
    role in a foreign operating theater. But if I worked as a
    bookkeeper for the VFW, they can make me a designated
 6
 7
    operator or a director, but they couldn't make me their
 8
    chairperson. You'd have to be an actual member of the VFW in
 9
    order to be a chairperson.
                                              Gotcha.
10
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Gotcha.
                                                       Okay.
11
                    MR. URIEGAS: However -- this is Veronica.
12
               However, in a -- just an organization that has just
13
    a regular 501(c)(3), for example, they can appoint somebody
14
    to be their bingo chairperson and they can also be the
15
    bookkeeper if the organization's that small enough.
16
                    MR. VANCE: Yeah.
                                       That's --
17
                    MS. URIEGAS: I have seen that.
18
                    And then I have another question, I quess.
19
    think this is also the case, back to Kim's information about
20
    the chairperson gets an email. I do think that even if it's
21
    not a chairperson, if it's a director that applies for it, it
22
    does go to -- or if it's a chairperson that applies for the
23
    temporary, it does go to a second member in the email group.
24
    It doesn't have to be just the chairperson.
```

Is that correct, Tyler?

25

1 MR. VANCE: I'm not sure about that, to be 2 honest with you.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay.

2.0

2.5

MR. VANCE: But we could set this -- we could set this thing up in any way to to notify whomever.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Sure. I get where the staff is coming from on this, Tyler. I really, really do. And I kind of like it when there's more than one person involved. I personally don't like it where it's just one person. But if they're getting emails on the back end that's not the same person, I also understand that.

The thing that really concerns me the most is when changes are made and the organizations aren't really notified, and the only way they find out about it is midway through a process. Is there a better way that we could get updates or maybe notifications on little changes like that? And it may seem kind of little or not a big deal, but I think that's something that we would definitely like to know when there's administrative changes made on stuff like that. To me that would be probably one of the bigger fixes to things like this is if people just don't know.

MR. VANCE: Yeah. And I understand that, and I'll apologize for this, because this was my decision and I didn't think to put out an email blast on it. And it was -- it was just one decision in 50 that I made on some Wednesday

1 | morning or something. But --

1.5

CHAIRMAN SMITH: If that's the worst thing you ever do, Tyler, I think we're going to be A-OK.

MR. VANCE: But, yes, this should have been communicated. I don't know if Michael Farrell communicated when he did it, but, yeah, I agree. A change on a form like this, especially a form that's commonly used, should definitely be publicized ahead of time and discussed ahead of time.

 $\hbox{ The decision that was given to me is that I} \\ \hbox{had these two forms that were completely different.}$

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Sure.

MR. VANCE: And I just said make them the same. We certainly should have published those then.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: To be honest, Tyler, I would have done the same thing you did. I would've just said make them the same. I would have done it. So not -- no fault there. We're just -- we just kind of want to make sure that we're all on the same page and working together from hereon out, I guess. Because I want to tell you, Tyler, you did a fantastic job with the cauldron of mess that you got thrown in your lap, so we're definitely appreciative of what you did and how well you handled it. That's for darn sure.

MR. VANCE: Well, thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes, sir.

So, Kim, do you have a -- I know I asked you 1 2 this earlier, but is there something you want to do on this, or do you want to wait and think about it? This is your 3 workgroup. Give us some direction here. 4 5 (Pause) CHAIRMAN SMITH: Kim, are you there? 6 7 This is Veronica. I know she MS. URIEGAS: 8 had to leave, but she said she was going to stay on until 9 11:15, so I think she might have already left. 10 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. 11 MS. URIEGAS: So I recommend that we just let 12 her -- let's say we're going to think about it. Because I've 13 been talking to her about this. So I'll just reach out to 14 her and let her know that we're just going to wait on this 15 and we'll talk about it amongst -- oh. There you are. 16 MS. ROGERS: So sorry. I'm back. My computer 17 just went completely out, but it's back now. 18 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. 19 MS. ROGERS: But I missed everything that you 20 all spoke about, so you want to postpone and not change 21 anything at this time? 22 CHAIRMAN SMITH: What I'm asking you is what 23 you want to do on your workgroup. Do you want to have some 24 more conversation about this? Do you want to propose 25 something?

```
MS. ROGERS: Well, I believe what Tyler was
 1
 2
    trying to tell me was that we need to, yes, propose
    something, get the BAC members' opinion, and if you all want
 3
    to make a motion.
 5
                    I think we should ask that -- and if we need
    to go to the Commissioners to ask them at the next meeting
 6
 7
    when you go, the officers, directors, bookkeepers, and
 8
    operators and make both forms the same. Make the BSP is one
 9
    of them, one of those four positions can apply for a
10
    temporary, and make the form that one of those or two of
11
    those four would submit on FORMID 16.
12
                    Veronica, was that also your idea?
1.3
                    MS. URIEGAS: Yes. Yes. I agree with you.
14
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. So let me see if I
15
    understand this correct, Kim. This is Trace.
16
                    You want to make it to where just one person
17
    can file for the temporary licenses, however, on the back end
18
    of that, there's going to be more than one person at the
19
    organization getting an email and it won't be that same
20
    person; is that correct?
                    MS. ROGERS: Absolutely. Absolutely.
21
22
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH:
                                     Okay.
23
                    MS. ROGERS: Now the BSP only requires one
24
    person to do it, because of course it's online.
25
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH:
                                     Uh-huh.
```

```
MS. ROGERS: So you log into your portal and
 1
                If Tyler and staff believe that the paper should
 2
    you do it.
 3
    stay two signatures because that goes back to the BEA, then I
    still think it should be two of those four.
 5
                    MR. VANCE: Yeah, so there's no requirements
    whatsoever in the BEA of who has to sign this thing, so this
 6
 7
    is just a pure policy decision.
 8
                    MS. ROGERS: Okay.
 9
                    MR. VANCE: And I think that if only one
10
    person needs to sign on BSP, I think it ought to be one
11
    person that signs the paper, too. Like I said, there really
12
    shouldn't be two different standards for the same
13
    application.
14
                    MR. DUNCAN:
                                Agreed.
1.5
                    MS. ROGERS:
                                Absolutely. I agree.
16
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. So you're making a
17
    motion that we petition the Lottery to --
18
                    MS. URIEGAS:
                                  This is Veronica. If I can also
19
    interject. Kim, did you want to add the bookkeeper to that
2.0
    list as well? Is that what I'm understanding?
21
                    MS. ROGERS: I would like to make a motion
22
    that we petition the Commissioners for an organization to
2.3
    apply for a temporary license, they only -- it only requires
24
    the signature of an officer or a director or a bookkeeper or
```

an operator on the BSP or FORMID 16. I also believe you

2.5

- might have to include FORMID 17 in that, but I'm not 1 2 positive. I want to add chairperson. 3 MS. GREEN: CHAIRMAN SMITH: But with one signature, 4 5 you're still getting those multiple emails on the back end to where there's more than one person that the organization is 6 7 aware of. And I guess where I'm going with that is I don't really --8 9 MS. ROGERS: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN SMITH: -- -- have a problem 11 personally with one person filing for the approval of 12 temporary licenses as long as that one person is not the only 13 person in the organization that knows that's going on. 14 MS. ROGERS: And, Trace, I completely agree 15
 - with you, but I think that would be something that we would ask staff to do versus putting in or changing any type of rule or anything like that. But, yes, requesting that staff, when a temporary is approved by a bookkeeper, a officer or director or operator is notified. Someone else within the organization.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- MS. URIEGAS: This is Veronica. I would say applied for, not approved.
- MS. ROGERS: Okay. Applied for. Exactly.

 And, Melodye, to -- I don't know that we would have to list

 the chairperson, because your chairperson has to be an

```
officer or director. Am I correct, Tyler?
 1
 2
                    MR. VANCE:
                               That's correct. Yeah.
 3
                    MS. GREEN: Or they could send the email to
    the chairperson, that way they wouldn't have to be searching
 5
    for officers, directors, or operators. Because they -- the
    chairperson's the one in charge of bingo, correct?
 6
 7
                    MR. VANCE: Correct.
                                          I think the targets
    would be the chairperson and the designated agent, which
 8
    might be the chairperson, but sometimes it's not.
 9
10
                    MS. GREEN: Correct.
11
                    MR. VANCE: But those are the two people we
12
    have on file to contact.
13
                    MS. GREEN: Correct. Then you can tell them
14
    when something's been applied for or changed.
1.5
                    MS. ROGERS: Absolutely. The organization
16
    more than one person -- exactly like when you do your
17
    inventory, more than one person needs to be involved in it,
18
    so everyone knows what's going on. So, yes sir, Trace, that
19
    is what the motion we would like to bring up for the BAC.
2.0
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. And do we have a
21
    second for that motion?
22
                    MR. MARTIN: This is Will Martin, and I second
2.3
    it.
24
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. We got a second
25
    by Will Martin. All right. All in favor of asking the
```

Commissioners and staff to make these changes that Kim has 1 2 just talked about? And we will get this all in writing here 3 after the meeting, I'm sure. But all in favor say aye. (Chorus of "ayes") 4 5 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any opposed? The motion carries. 6 7 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. AGENDA TTEM 8 8 9 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Well, any --10 since we don't have public comment on this meeting, we'll 11 move on to number 8, old business. 12 Corey, you had a workgroup that you were 13 working on that we did not actually get to put on the agenda 14 today. I know it's short notice because it wasn't on the 15 agenda, but do you have anything on your workgroup you'd like 16 to report about? 17 MR. HARRIS: Yeah. Good morning, Trace. 18 This is Corey Harris. I wasn't prepared at this Yeah. 19 meeting; I was -- thought we were going to go over that when 20 we met again in person. I'm in Colorado this week, so I'm 21 operating remotely. So if it's okay with you and the 22 committee, can we just add that to our agenda and I'll be 23 ready for that on the next meeting? 24 CHAIRMAN SMITH: That sounds like a plan, sir. 25 MR. HARRIS: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: And that was our -- my fault 1 2 for not putting it on the agenda this go around. So blame lies here. 3 MR. HARRIS: Yeah. Well, I don't think 5 there's any blame necessary. We'll just go ahead and tack it on to the other one, and we'll talk about it next time. 6 7 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Sounds good. 8 Any other old business that needs to be discussed? 9 10 (No responses) AGENDA ITEM 9 11 12 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Moving on, number 1.3 9, new business. Is there anybody that's got anything new that we need to address? 14 1.5 MR. VANCE: Trace, this is Tyler Vance. 16 want to bring up we're going to propose three rules at the 17 next Commission meeting and part of the BAC's mission is to 18 advise the Commission on any rule proposals. So we're going 19 to run these by you. 2.0 You'll have a paper copy at the next meeting, 21 but I'll go ahead and read them for you. 22 Normally on a substantive rule we present 23 these to you months ahead of time so you can debate this and 24 consider it. These amendments are all clean-up. They're 2.5 instances in which our rules conflict with the statute, so we need to change them. And there's nothing to debate about them. They're relatively straightforward. So I'll go ahead.

2.3

2.5

Rule 402.413 is for military applicants for licenses and the legislature this session amended the definition of "Armed Forces of the United States" to include the Space Force. Our rule does not have the Space Force in it, so we'll be amending that rule to include the Space Force.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right.

MR. VANCE: Rule 402.452 applies to the calculation of net proceeds for a two-year license. Right now our rule has two different standards. The first year of a two-year license is based on the start date and the anniversary date, and the second year evaluation is based on the anniversary date and then the end date. And so the end date is the day before the anniversary date. And this has raised some issues when Accounting and Audit gets into looking at net proceeds.

Well, if you look at the statute, the statute uses only the anniversary date in both instances. So we need to change that rule to make it clear; the net proceeds for a two-year license will always be referencing the anniversary date of the license for the calculation.

And then the other change is in 402.702. This is the disciplinary action section, and so it provides that

when we propose denying an application, so let's say you have a criminal history issue, and we send a notice that says oh, you've got an issue, but here's your chance to provide letters of recommendation or anything else.

Our current rule allows 20 days to provide that information, but chapter 53 of the Texas Occupations Code which governs this, allows for 30 days. And so we've changed that on our letters, the letters that go out give people 30 days, but our rule still says 20 days, so we need to correct that.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Excellent.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. VANCE: And so those three will be going up in October, and I'll get a copy of that, I or LaDonna, to all of you guys to look at the next BAC meeting and then you'll be expected, Trace, to make some comment on it at the next Commission meeting.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Absolutely. Not a problem.

Thank you for the heads up on that, and I hope that those help you in the future. They sound like they're pretty open and shut deals.

Is there any other new business?
(No response)

AGENDA ITEM 10

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. Since we don't have public comment for new business, let's sneak on over to item

- 1 | number 10. How about that?
- MS. ROGERS: Trace, I believe we set the date
- 3 for our next meeting to be October 20th at 10:00 a.m. in
- 4 Austin. In-person meeting. Just for your information.
- 5 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes, ma'am. That is correct.
- 6 I was looking for it in my paper right here and you beat me
- 7 to it. All right.
- 8 So, Tyler, are we still good for that? And I
- 9 | just now -- I'm just now able to see who the attendees are on
- 10 | the call today. I do see Commissioner Fields is on the call
- 11 | today. I don't know why that just popped up. But,
- 12 Commissioner Fields, is there anything that you would like to
- 13 | talk about or impart to us? Wanted to give you the
- 14 opportunity to talk if you'd like to.
- 15 COMMISSIONER FIELDS: Yeah. Thanks, Trace.
- 16 | really -- morning, everyone. I don't have anything. Just
- 17 | hiding here.
- 18 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. All right. Well,
- 19 you're certainly welcome. And we're definitely glad you
- 20 joined us. It's certainly a pleasure to know that the
- 21 | Commissioners are paying attention to what we do. We
- 22 definitely appreciate that very much.
- 23 COMMISSIONER FIELDS: Thank you.
- 24 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes, ma'am.
- 25 All right. So we're scheduled for the next