	1
1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
2	BEFORE THE
3	TEXAS LOTTERY COMMISSION
4	AUSTIN, TEXAS
5	DINCO ADVICODY COMMITTEE MEETING
6	BINGO ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
7	
8	
9	
10	JUNE 8, 2022
11	10:00 a.m.
12	AT
13	TEXAS LOTTERY COMMISSION 611 East 6th Street
14	Austin, Texas 78701
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Proceedings reported by electronic sound recording; transcript prepared by Verbatim Reporting & Transcription
25	LLC.

		3
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	P. 1. Call to order	AGE 4
3	2. American Pledge	4
4 5	3. Texas Pledge	4
6	4. Roll call	4
7	5. Meeting minutes from April 5, 2022 meeting: a. Public comment. b. Approval.	5
8 9	6. BAC nominations from the vacant seat:	5
10	7. Filing quarterly reports electronically:	9
11	8. Legislative update - Mr. Bresnen/Mr. Fenoglio	13
12 13	9. Discussion on penalties involving units and payment of fines	17
14	10. Discussion on reporting non-cash merchandise prizes on quarterly reports	32
15	11. Set date for the next meeting	32
16	12. Adjourn	57
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	4
1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	TUESDAY, JUNE 8, 2022 (10:00 a.m.)
3	AGENDA ITEM 1
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: So we'll go ahead and get
5	started. I'm going to call the meeting to order. It's about
6	10:15.
7	AGENDA ITEMS 2 AND 3
8	CHAIRMAN SMITH: And we're going to go ahead
9	and start out with the American Pledge and the Texas Pledge.
10	Mr. Martin, would lead us in that, please?
11	(Pledges recited)
12	AGENDA ITEM 4
13	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you, Mr.
14	Martin. We're going to go for roll call. William T. Smith
15	is here. That would be me. Veronica.
16	MS. URIEGAS: Here.
17	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Is here. Glad to have you
18	back with us. Mr. Will Martin?
19	MR. MARTIN: Yes, sir.
20	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Is here. Melody Green is
21	here. Tommy Duncan?
22	MR. DUNCAN: Here.
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Here. Emile Bourgoyne.
24	MR. BOURGOYNE: Here.
25	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Jason Pohl is not here today.

applicants. We had Michael T. Anastasio, Kris Keller, Hector 1 Villarreal, Jamal Tawil, Margaret Baldwin, were the five 2 3 applicants that we had. I think that what we're looking for in a applicant for the BAC is someone that fills the role of 5 a charity lessor. They don't have to actually be a charity lessor, but I think if we have a charity lessor, somebody 6 that does that, I think they would better represent that 7 8 position on the BAC versus someone who is not a charity 9 lessor. 10 Tyler, if you like to comment on this? 11 MR. VANCE: Yeah, sorry to interrupt. 12 want to ask, everybody who's called in, if you could please 13 mute your phones. We're getting a lot of noise over the 14 speaker phone. 15 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you. So, I 16 made the calls. I think Jamal and Kris Keller are very good 17 applicants. I think they're very knowledgeable about the 18 industry. I just -- I don't feel like they fit the bill for 19 charity lessor. Keep in mind that this is not my total job 20 to make the recommendation; we get to vote on this as a 21 committee and come up with one final applicant to present to 22 the Commissioners for approval. 23 However, I think Jamal and Kris are both not 24 fitting the bill as a charity lessor, although, they are 25 probably some of the most knowledgeable people in the

industry today. I called Hector Villarreal. He's been in 1 the bingo industry for about 12 years. I did not get a call 2 back from him. He is currently at the VFW Post 8621. So he 3 is definitely qualified for that as a charity lessor. 4 5 The other applicant was Michael T. Anastasio, who is also a VFW operator. He's been in the bingo business 6 7 about 15 years. And he is at VFW Post 8577. 8 And then Margaret Baldwin is -- she has been 9 in the bingo industry for about 18 years, mostly as a 10 bookkeeper in a charity unit and association, and association 11 director. 12 So we have three applicants that in my mind 13 are not direct charity lessors, although they are all 14 involved in charity lessors in some way or another, and 15 probably Margaret more so than anyone. 16 I know that Michael is a charity lessor in the 17 license forum and I believe Hector is also as well, but I did 18 not get to speak with him. So what I'd like to do if -- to avoid any 19 20 awkwardness, if you could write down on a piece of paper who 21 your vote is for and pass that forward, I will do that. 22 And in the event of a tie vote today, typically, in 23 committees and city councils, government meetings, if there 24 is a tie vote, the chairman has a weighted vote. 25 (Pause)

	10
1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Wonderful.
2	MS. CASTAÑUELA: Thank you. Good to see
3	everybody.
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: I know we've been reaching
5	out through our community efforts, trying to get more people
6	to file electronically. Did you have any success in that
7	this past time?
8	MS. CASTAÑUELA: So I've been looking at
9	numbers and they're improving a tiny bit. The biggest change
10	improvement is with the units, so I'm very excited about
11	that. That's a lot of it's a lot of work. I really
12	appreciate it.
13	We are getting closer and closer to finalizing
14	some user manuals to help people, trying to get them just
15	right and user friendly. I've told people if I know how to -
16	- if I can use it, then it'll be useable.
17	CHAIRMAN SMITH: There we go, right. Yes.
18	MS. CASTAÑUELA: Because I've never done it
19	before. So, so we're working on that and hope to get it,
20	hopefully this month, late, before the end of the month, I'll
21	be sending a director's message with some links. They'll be
22	posted on the website.
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Awesome.
24	MS. CASTAÑUELA: Okay?
25	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Awesome. Melodye?

```
11
                    MS. GREEN: Yeah, I just have one comment.
 1
                                                                Ι
 2
    saw you looking at the units. I did file everything online.
                    MS. CASTAÑUELA: Yay. Thank you very much.
 3
                    MS. GREEN: But when I do the charitable
 4
 5
    distribution and --
                    MS. CASTAÑUELA: Yes.
 6
 7
                    MS. GREEN: -- file theirs, it says save the
 8
    report; they're not saving.
 9
                    MS. CASTAÑUELA: Okay.
                    MS. GREEN: So none of --
10
11
                    MS. CASTAÑUELA: That's good feedback.
12
                    MS. GREEN: They're saving -- the quarterly
    report is saving, but when I do the charitable, it did not
13
14
    save.
15
                    MS. CASTAÑUELA: Okay.
16
                    MS. GREEN: So if you have any question about
17
    that, I can't go back and look at it.
18
                   MS. CASTAÑUELA: Have you --
19
                    MS. GREEN: Because it's not there.
20
                    MS. CASTAÑUELA: -- mentioned that to Alice or
21
    anybody? I mean, I'll take a note, of course.
22
                    MS. GREEN: Yeah, yeah.
23
                    MS. CASTAÑUELA: I just want to know if
24
    anybody else is --
25
                    MS. GREEN: Yeah.
```

```
12
                    MS. CASTAÑUELA: -- working on it yet.
 1
 2
                    MS. GREEN: Not yet.
 3
                   MS. CASTAÑUELA: Okay.
                   MS. GREEN: But I will.
 5
                    MS. CASTAÑUELA: Okay.
                    MS. URIEGAS: This is Veronica. And so, if
 6
 7
    you go out -- go ahead and file the first quarterly report
    and then go back into it and file it the other way, then
 8
 9
    they'll save. And you can just print it. So you -- there's
10
    a way it does it, it just takes -- it took a lot of trial and
    error for it to get through.
11
12
                    So file your quarterly report, everything
13
    else, and then go back. And there's another line that you
14
    can do your charitable distributions.
15
                    MS. GREEN: But I'm talking about each
16
    charity's --
17
                    MS. URIEGAS: Yes.
18
                   MS. GREEN: It does save that?
19
                   MS. URIEGAS: Uh-huh.
20
                    MS. CASTAÑUELA: Uh-huh.
21
                    MS. URIEGAS: You just have to get out of it
22
    and go back into it. So it's a little glitch --
23
                    MS. GREEN: Oh goodie.
24
                    MS. URIEGAS: -- but I just -- but I figured
25
    it out by trial and error, yeah.
```

```
MS. GREEN: Well, it -- it does say save and
 1
 2
    submit. So when you push save and submit, it would be nice
    if it did save it.
 3
                   MS. CASTAÑUELA: I'll make sure it's in the
 4
 5
    user manual. That's good feedback. Thank you.
                               Thank you.
 6
                   MS. GREEN:
 7
                   CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Okay. And so we
    would, once again, like to encourage everyone to file
 8
 9
    electronically once again if you possibly can. All right.
10
    Any other discussion on that?
11
                             AGENDA ITEM 8
12
                   CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. All right. We'll move
13
    on to the next item on -- okay. If you're on the telephone,
14
    could you please mute your phone? I'm getting a lot of
15
    background noise. Is it feedback from the microphones do you
16
    think?
17
                   All right. Number eight, legislative update.
18
    Mr. Bresnen could not be here today due to a scheduling
19
    conflict. Mr. Fenoglio, would you like to give us an update
20
    on legislation?
21
                   MR. FENOGLIO: For the record, my name is
22
    Stephen Fenoglio, I'm an attorney in Austin. The good news
23
    is the Legislature's not in session. There was a house --
24
                   CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yet.
                                           Yet.
```

MR. FENOGLIO: January 8, I think, of 2023.

25

- 1 | There was a House Licensing and Administrative Procedures
- 2 | Committee hearing yesterday on the scourge of illegal eight
- 3 liners and game rooms. Most of the members were there and
- 4 seemed to be engaged.
- 5 Unfortunately, Representative Dan Huberty,
- 6 | who's a really good guy, is retiring and asks the most
- 7 | questions about how you -- how we fix this problem of cash
- 8 paying game rooms. Who knows what the committee will do.
- 9 They'll meet probably one more time.
- 10 If people are interested in legislation for
- 11 2023, now's the time to get it drafted. Don't wait until
- 12 | January. Leg counsel, you can pre-file beginning, I believe,
- 13 it's November 15 or thereabouts. You have to get a
- 14 legislator to pre-file. So be happy to answer any questions.
- 15 Otherwise, I'm going to shut up. Thank you very much.
- 16 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right.
- 17 MR. MARTIN: Y'all want to ask me about that?
- 18 CHAIRMAN SMITH: I would love to hear from
- 19 you, Will.
- MR. MARTIN: Well, Representative Kuempel's
- 21 | Chief of Staff Brittney Madden, came down to Victoria last
- 22 | Friday and brought the people from Sam's Casino with her.
- 23 And by the way, I found out from them, I thought they were
- 24 talking about having four casinos in the state of Texas, like
- 25 Houston, Fort Worth -- Dallas-Fort Worth, Austin and San

Antonio. And they said, no, but we're going to have some smaller casinos, like in Corpus, Brownsville. So they're going to have a total of about 11 casinos they're wanting to bring into the state of Texas.

And we spoke to them about some of the things that we think bingo needs so that we don't all go broke when the casinos move in. While Brittney, the Chief of Staff, was there, she also informed me, Will, the testimony that took place yesterday was only by invite, but she said, I want you to do the electronic testimony about these game rooms, because you probably know more about them in the state of Texas than anyone.

And by the way, we finally did get an ordinance passed in Nueces County against illegal game rooms there. And we'll see how well that can be enforced.

In speaking with the Lieutenant Governor's Office, I spoke with Ms. Morris there, and she said Mr. Martin, if you could write a bill, get some people to write a bill against these illegal game rooms, my boss would be overjoyed. So I think the state is finally looking at this and saying, it's not only affecting Bingo and the Lottery Commission, but it's affecting a lot of other businesses in the state and they bring a lot of other crime with them; that's kind of where we're leaning this coming session, is to get rid of game rooms.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: And maybe you can talk a little bit more about what you thought. You said they asked you what bingo wanted. What were some of the things, if you can talk about it, what were some of the things that --

MR. MARTIN: Well, I had 18 of them on there and the ones that I can remember off the top of my head was we wanted progressive bingo pots. We want progressive event tabs. We want to have electronic event tabs with playback. And then of course some of the bingo halls are wanting slot machines. And I think that's going to be a point that we really have to kick around a lot because I don't think the legislative people are ready to let every bingo hall in the state of Texas have slot machines.

And I think a lot of these people are not thinking it through. Anybody -- if I say something that maybe is wrong and correct me, but these slot machines are not cheap. You're looking at anywhere from \$22,000 to \$50,000 apiece. And I can't see a distributor leasing them from a -- IGT or someone, and putting them in a dirty, filthy, dinky hall.

So not everybody's going to be able to get them and the ones that do get them are they thinking ahead?

And once you put slots in, you're looking at 24/7; you're going to have to have more security, you're going to have to have a mixed drink license, you're going to have to have more

- employees, you're going to have to have more air conditioning
 to -- your overhead is --
- 3 CHAIRMAN SMITH: What I think I hear you 4 saying is it takes money to make money?
- 5 MR. MARTIN: Amen, brother.
- 6 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Oh, okay.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

- 7 MR. MARTIN: So, that's -- the only other 8 thing I asked from them was I wanted preferential treatment 9 or preferential hiring for veterans if, and when casinos do 10 come to Texas.
- 11 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Gotcha. Okay. Well, I

 12 appreciate that Will; thank you very much. Anybody else have

 13 any comments on that subject? No? Okay.

AGENDA ITEM 9

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Let's move on to Item Number 9, discussion on penalties involving units and payments and fines. Melodye dear.

MS. GREEN: Well, I have a problem with a \$100 fine that is a actual \$100 fine turning into a \$700 fine. You know, the units -- I've been talking to Steve Fenoglio about this, because I'm -- the way the laws were written, the units, I feel like should be treated as one entity because that's what they are. They file one quarterly report, and they answer to one -- one check, one action. Things like, you know, we keep perpetual inventory is on one, it's not

individually.

Now, I told Trace, it is like if you're driving down the street and you have four people in the car and you get a ticket for going 33 miles an hour in a 30, they give you one ticket. They don't give everybody in the car a ticket. Now, everybody in the car, plus the people in the trunk, are all getting tickets.

So you have, you -- and the money is being taken out of the charities' pockets five times or six times or seven times rate. And I have an email going back a couple years ago that says this fine has to be paid out of the charity; it cannot be paid out of the unit trust, because it's not a acceptable expense. And I have a next email that says, this must be paid out of the unit trust because you cannot use 501(c) charity money to pay fines to the lottery occasion because they're not.

So anyway, this is a big problem, because if you -- I mean, they are assessing quite a -- okay, here comes Steve. They are assessing fines, but you take the \$100 and turn it into \$700, and it's a big money grab for nothing. It's hurting the charities.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: I think the car analogy and the speeding kind of really turned the light on for me a little bit.

MS. GREEN: Uh-huh.

```
CHAIRMAN SMITH:
                                    That's -- I see where you're
 1
 2
    going with that.
 3
                    MS. GREEN:
                                Uh-huh.
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: I'm not familiar enough with
 4
 5
    the unit stuff, but I think Mr. Fenoglio might be.
                    MS. GREEN:
                                Steve is.
 6
 7
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Steve, would you like to
 8
    comment on this?
 9
                    MR. FENOGLIO: Yes, please.
10
                    For the record, my name is Stephen Fenoglio.
11
    First, the easiest one for me is the who pays the penalty.
    Jim Cox, when he was one of the commissioners and Tom Clowe
12
13
    was the chairman. This direct issue came up and I know,
14
    LaDonna, that's long before your time. Kim is nodding in
15
    agreement. The staff wanted to prohibit the bingo account
16
    from paying a penalty.
17
                    I can't read lips, Kim, you may have to come
18
         I believe it was Mr. White at the time, and he got a
19
    butt chewing by Jim Cox who was a big time CPA at one point
20
    before going to -- what? Say again?
21
                    MS. KIPLIN: Bingo Director.
22
                    MR. FENOGLIO: Okay. The bingo director at
23
    the time wanted to. And Cox then went to work for the Hughes
24
    Estate casinos and developed a high degree of knowledge about
```

gaming.

And so he's listening to this, and if you read body language, I could tell he was agitated. And when he finished, he said, what difference does it make? It's the charity's money one way or the other. And that was to -- I thought had resolved the issue. And if necessary, I can go back and find that record, but that was the marker that the Commissioners laid down, that it -- if the charity wants to pay it out of the bingo account, the charity can pay it out of the general fund, the charity can pay it out of the general fund. It's the charity's money. It's not anyone else's.

So I think -- and again, if this continues to be an issue, I'll be happy to engage on that. The units are an entity, they have a separate taxpayer number and I've always been puzzled as to why, if it -- the unit has violated, we all know, I do certainly, that it's the unit that's now doing the activity. Yes, every charity has to have a bingo license, but in practical effect, it's the unit that's doing the things.

There's one inventory, there's -- if there are written contracts with distributors, it's with the unit. The unit makes arrangements for the utility bill, et cetera, janitorial. And so it seems to me that it should be considered the unit is the violator and it's the unit, one entity, that should pay. And, technically, I guess the staff

could look at it, well, we'll get eight checks; the unit,
plus if there were seven charities.

And I guess it could be if there was evidence that each of the charities was actively engaged in the illegal conduct, I could see where that might -- you might want to elevate the penalty, but what I've seen is usually a bookkeeping error and/or some sloppiness on someone's part; there's no money missing, and you know, this -- the charity reps, the unit rep, promptly solves the problem to the satisfaction of the staff.

And by the way, in a prior life, a lot of the auditors were reluctant to give any advice. They just would say, this is the error and well, how do we fix it, I can't help you on that. My experience has been that the staff, your staff, LaDonna, do a great job of saying, well, this is how it should be done. And kudos to you and your staff for, you know, saying, okay. Yeah. Well, this is — and what I've heard — seen when I've been involved, too, is there's a give and take, no one's got a personal grudge, and they hear what the charity rep is saying and okay, well, maybe we can do it this — maybe we can do it your way. I hadn't thought of that way.

So, I hope I answered your questions and I'll shut up.

MS. GREEN: Well, no, my question is, are you

```
going to stop making a $100 fine a $700 fine? It's -- or,
 1
 2
    you know, Steve, is that something they can legally do? Or
 3
    Kim? I believe I had spoken to you about that before.
                    So I mean, it's just money that's coming out
 4
 5
    of the charity's account, so you're taking a $100 fine,
    excuse me, and making it 700, which is not right.
 6
 7
                    MR. FENOGLIO: Yeah. And it's -- it is, I
 8
    would say it's discretionary. But from a business
 9
    standpoint, it -- from my look at it, it's the unit that's
10
    doing the activity --
11
                    MS. GREEN: Correct.
12
                    MR. FENOGLIO: -- and it ought to be one
13
    entity, not several.
14
                    MS. GREEN: So how do we fix this? What do I
15
    have to do?
16
                    MR. FENOGLIO: I think you're asking the wrong
17
    person for that.
18
                    MS. GREEN: Well, no.
19
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Tyler --
20
                    MR. VANCE:
                                I can comment on this.
21
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: -- or LaDonna maybe, would
22
    y'all like to comment on this? And this may be something
2.3
    that we need to --
24
                    MR. MARTIN: A $700 fine on a --
25
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: -- give the staff some time -
```

1 MR. MARTIN: -- (indiscernible) --2 3 MS. GREEN: Yes. CHAIRMAN SMITH: -- to look into this and 4 5 maybe --MR. VANCE: Sure. So, number of things --6 7 this is Tyler Vance, Assistant General Counsel. This comes from the statute, it's 2001.438(f) is that if organizations 8 9 voluntarily enter into an accounting unit, then all the 10 organizations are jointly and severally liable for all 11 actions of each other. And specifically, for the payment of 12 any penalties imposed for a violation. 13 So I think what we're doing is perfectly 14 legal. I think the way to accomplish what it is that -- that 1.5 you want to do is to treat units differently. So the thing 16 is units don't have a license. So a unit is a collection of 17 say, five licensees. So in order to hold everybody in that 18 unit jointly and severally liable for the actions of one of 19 them, we have to discipline each one of those licenses. 20 The way to do what it is you're saying is 21 instead of fining each of them a \$100, is to fine them a \$100 22 divided by seven, right? Fine each one, you know, and that's 2.3 -- that's a policy matter for the Commissioners if they 24 wanted to adopt a rule. The problem with that is it treats -

- treats organizations and units differently than standalone

25

organizations. 1 2 There -- to use your car analogy, the -arguably, the policy reason behind doing this is so that the 3 other three people in the car tell the driver to slow down. 5 If you have four people and four organizations in a unit that are all jointly and severally liable for the actions of one 6 7 of them, then they should all have a higher reason for paying attention to what's going on at all times. 8 9 But that is policy decision. I agree with 10 Steve, it's kind of discretionary. The other issue here, there's 2001.459 11 12 specifies all of the things that must be paid from a bingo 13 account and penalties are not in there. So, I don't really 14 see any legal requirement that penalties be paid from the 15 bingo account. MS. GREEN: Well, the last email I got says 16 17 that penalty must be paid from the bingo account. 18 MR. VANCE: Well, yeah. 19

CHAIRMAN SMITH: If I may?

20

22

MS. GREEN: Not with me, but.

21 CHAIRMAN SMITH: If I may, Tyler. So you say

that it's -- that's not listed as an allowable expense?

2.3 MR. VANCE: No, there's -- so there's two

24 different things. There's one statute that lists all the

25 things that may be paid from the bingo account, and then

- 1 | there's another statute that says all the things that must be
- 2 paid, that can only be paid from a bingo account.
- 3 Advertising must be paid from a bingo account, security,
- 4 purchase and repair of supplies, prizes, rental expenses,
- 5 accounting services and employment fees. And so I -- I would
- 6 | interpret that, because it doesn't say penalties in there
- 7 | must be paid, then the agency has the discretion to allow
- 8 | them to be paid from another source.
- 9 CHAIRMAN SMITH: So if they -- if there's --
- 10 | let's say a charity -- or a unit is using a accountant and
- 11 | they have an issue with UBIT, and the IRS says you owe this
- 12 money, would that fall under accounting?
- 13 MR. VANCE: Fees for accounting services must
- 14 be paid from the bingo account.
- 15 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. So then the UBIT tax
- 16 or fine from the IRS would be paid out of that account,
- 17 | correct?
- 18 MR. VANCE: I --
- MS. COHN: It's different.
- MR. VANCE: I would kind of argue -- yeah, the
- 21 | fine and the accounting service are two different things.
- 22 MS. COHN: It's totally different.
- 23 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay.
- MS. COHN: IRS, that's the federal law.
- 25 There's a specific rule under the IRS, so it's different.

```
Commission, so we don't have any jurisdiction over a --
 1
 2
                    MS. URIEGAS:
                                  Okay.
                    MR. VANCE: -- unit per se. We have
 3
    jurisdiction over the members of the unit.
 4
 5
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: That makes more sense.
                    MS. URIEGAS: Yeah.
 6
 7
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. All right.
 8
                   MS. URIEGAS: Thank you.
 9
                    MR. VANCE: Sure.
10
                    MR. FENOGLIO: And I don't -- this is Fenoglio
11
    again. I don't want to get into a tête-à-tête with Tyler,
    but the statute says, 458, an item of expense may not be
12
13
    incurred or paid in connection with the conduct of bingo,
14
    except an expense that is reasonable or necessary to conduct
15
    bingo, including, and then there is the itemized list of 11.
16
    This is what Cox focused on, and focusing in the Internal
17
    Revenue Code on ordinary and necessary, I believe, is the
18
    actual language.
19
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: So is this something that we
20
    would need to clean up? Is this administrative rules or is
21
    this Enabling Act?
22
                    MR. FENOGLIO: Now that if -- if that's the
23
    position of the staff, then yes, I think you need to change
24
    it statutorily to resolve it forever. But, you know, Cox was
2.5
    not an idiot.
```

CHAIRMAN SMITH: No.

1.3

MR. FENOGLIO: And I remember the meeting and it's -- the question is, is it reasonable or necessary to conduct bingo. If you don't pay the fine, what happens? You lose your license. That was his rationale. I spoke with him at length after that. But if that's the position of staff, then the simplest way is to change it in the 2023 session.

I can't imagine a legislator looking at that

and saying, you mean to tell me that if I'm a truck driver and I get pulled over for speeding, and I've got a commercial business, I can't use my operating account to pay for that, I've got to use a savings account or something? And I mean, that's -- the rationale is -- and it's different, by the way, of Cox raised this issue, if you try to deduct that expense, a penalty, generally on your taxes, it may not be deductible, it usually isn't, a fine or a penalty, but that's not what this is about.

So anyway, I just think it's an exercise in how many angels can dance on the head of a pin that -- because it says it's reasonable or necessary to conduct bingo. Again, if you don't pay the license, you don't -- or if you don't pay the fine, you don't get to conduct bingo. That's my --

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: That's a --

MR. VANCE: I just want to make it clear that

```
my position reading this was that it legally isn't required
 1
 2
    to be paid out of either one, which means it -- it should be
    allowed to be paid out of either one. There's no requirement
 3
    that it must be paid out of bingo. Which to me implies that
 5
    it may be paid from a non-bingo account.
                                                            MS.
    URIEGAS: This is Veronica. I have one more question. So,
 6
 7
    I'm not familiar with units because I'm one of the surviving
 8
    that don't. So if they get a bill for something, don't they
 9
    split all the costs; is that how it works?
10
                   MS. GREEN: They do, but they're multiplying
11
    the cost.
12
                   MS. URIEGAS: Okay. Well, so I --
13
                   MS. GREEN: Instead of dividing it.
14
                   MS. URIEGAS: -- my questions would be is can
15
    you do it and split the cost like you would do any other
16
    bill? Is that --
17
                   MR. VANCE: So a penalty is assessed against
18
    each licensee. So -- so a unit may have a bill from a
19
    distributor in which they can all share, but when an
20
    administrative penalty is assessed, it's against an
21
    individual licensee, because again, the unit doesn't have a
22
    license. So each licensee is individually responsible for
23
    their penalty.
24
                   MS. GREEN: They're multiplying and
    multiplying and multiplying. If the distributor did that,
25
```

```
you take the -- pay your bill seven times. So we're paying
 1
    our bill seven or eight times for a fine that becomes
 2
 3
    completely ridiculous and excessive.
                    So how do I fix that?
 4
 5
                    MR. VANCE: Like I said, I think it's -- it
    would be a policy decision. You could have a rule that would
 6
 7
    say in the disciplinary guidelines that a fine against the
 8
    members of a unit shall be no greater than the fine against
 9
    one of them or something, that so that if one of them had
10
    $100 penalty, it would be -- it would be split evenly
    amongst, rather than each of them get $100 penalty, it would
11
12
    be $100 penalty, and they each pay their share of that $100.
13
                    MS. GREEN: So would you want a check --
14
                    MR. VANCE: For what is that --
                                -- divided --
1.5
                   MS. GREEN:
                                -- 14-some dollars or --
16
                   MR. VANCE:
17
                   MS. GREEN: -- 25/25/25 --
                    MR. VANCE: -- something?
18
19
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, you should be able to
20
    pay it out of the unit, just $100.
21
                                I'm just saying -- so --
                    MS. GREEN:
22
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yeah.
23
                    MR. VANCE: Yeah.
24
                    MS. GREEN: -- it would be divided like that?
25
                                It's -- yeah, unfortunately, it's
                    MR. VANCE:
```

- 1 | not super practical, but the law requires that the members of
- 2 | a unit are jointly and severally liable for all
- 3 | administrative penalties. So the only thing we have control
- 4 over is the penalty that's issued.
- 5 CHAIRMAN SMITH: I'm surprised this hasn't
- 6 | come up sooner.
- 7 MR. VANCE: But no matter what, they have to
- 8 be jointly responsible for the penalties. The thing we have
- 9 | control over is what the penalty looks like.
- MR. FENOGLIO: And I don't think anyone's ever
- 11 | argued that because that language was -- I remember, I
- 12 believe it was Phil Sanderson who wanted that language of the
- 13 | joint and several liability. So if you pay a \$100 fine -- or
- 14 | the unit pays a \$100 fine, total, all members are jointly and
- 15 | severally liable, just as they're jointly and severally
- 16 | liable when they pay the outrageous fees to the distributors.
- 17 | I didn't say that I, you know, they're all liable for all
- 18 expenses, you know, utility expense, et cetera. Sorry about
- 19 that, Glenn.
- 20 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, I think -- I think
- 21 | we've kind of got our direction on that, is maybe do
- 22 | something through a rulemaking process or through like Steve
- 23 | said, through the legislative process. We do have a
- 24 | legislative session coming up, so that may be the right way
- 25 to tackle that and make that a lot easier for -- sounds like

to me, it would be a lot easier for the staff to have it clarified and for the operators as well, so, put that on our agenda for this next legislative session, get that handled.

Any other comments on that?

AGENDA ITEM 10

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Item Number 10, we have elected to skip that one. There were some communication breakdowns between the staff and people that wanted to talk about that, so we're going to skip number 10. We'll put that back on the agenda for the next BAC meeting. So we'll get through with that.

One of the things that we did not get on the the agenda this time, and I'd like to apologize to staff for this, my internet was knocked down, we have -- typically have bad storms come through the town where I live in and I was -- I did not have internet service for about four days, so I was not able to get the regular agenda in and Mary was kind enough to do this last minute for me. So thank you, Mary. I appreciate it. She was very helpful with this.

AGENDA ITEM 11

CHAIRMAN SMITH: So we're going to go to new business now. There's several things; there's three small things under new business. I do want to keep the new business item short and sweet, and if it's something that we want to put on the next BAC agenda, that would be the time to

really get into it and hash out the details of the new business.

So first up, Kim Kiplin has a product she wants to talk about real quick. Kim, the floor is yours next.

MS. KIPLIN: So for the record, my name's

Kimberly Kiplin, I'm an attorney here in Austin, Texas. I

appreciate you giving me a time, brief amount of time today -

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Roll with it.

MS. KIPLIN: -- before your committee. I'm here to talk about a potentially new product being introduced in Texas, and that's called Fast Track Bingo. I represent SB Gaming, who does business as the Second Bite. They are a licensed bingo manufacturer -- license issued by the Texas Lottery Commission. They're the manufacturer of Fast Track Bingo.

Fast Track, just a little bit of discussion,

Fast Track Bingo, I hope y'all have had an opportunity to

take a look at the YouTube video on the explanation on how

Fast Track Bingo works. If not, we'll get you that link.

Anybody in the audience that wants that link, we'll get you

that link. We want to make sure that people have a clear

understanding and a good explanation of Fast Track Bingo.

And what it does is it uses shutter cards, these

- 1 | old time shutter cards, for bingo play and the players do
- 2 | slide the shutters over the numbers called by the caller.
- 3 There is a caller, it's not electronic; there is a caller.
- 4 The shutter cards, they're not electronic. There's no video
- 5 graphics. This is it, right here, these shutter cards.
- 6 The players prepay for the use of the shutter
- 7 | cards for the subsequent games that they play during that
- 8 | same occasion. The Fast Track uses an accounting system to
- 9 account for all of the transactions. That part is
- 10 electronic. So there will be an opportunity for a player to
- 11 | play three of these that are actually attached to just a
- 12 display and the customer account part is right next to it.
- 13 And there is an ID, a little chip, that's for each one of
- 14 these, so that each one of these faces or shutter cards, we
- 15 know what the numbers are on there.
- 16 Other than the electronic accounting, like I
- 17 | said, there are no electronics. Please know, wins will not
- 18 be credited by the player to the account for the player to
- 19 use in subsequent games. So it's no different, that
- 20 particular part is no different than a card-minding customer
- 21 | account, where you'll have to call your usher over and say
- 22 | you bingoed and then get paid.
- 23 Every time -- all sells are tracked in real
- 24 | time. Every time a player buys a shutter card, the sale is
- 25 recorded and the available prize is updated. This Fast Track

- 1 | Bingo is designed to be played pari-mutuel style bingo games.
- 2 | And that's really, to me, I saw it in Florida after I had
- 3 | retired from the lottery and was working with a firm, and I
- 4 happened to be at a North American Association of State and
- 5 Provincial Lotteries meeting in Florida. And so, that -- it
- 6 was set up and it was being played in a real hall. So I saw
- 7 | it and I saw just the, you know, the dynamics. The players,
- 8 they just love sliding these shutters, these shutter cards.
- 9 But it's designed to be a pari-mutuel, because
- 10 | it's low risk. So for those that are playing fixed prizes,
- 11 | you're offering a fixed prize, and you may not have the sales
- 12 that can support that prize, then this solves that problem.
- 13 | It's not intended to replace regular bingo games, it really
- 14 is intended to supplement, such as an early bird game.
- 15 CHAIRMAN SMITH: If I may interrupt you for
- 16 just one moment. If you're listening on the phone, if you
- 17 | could please mute your phone; we're getting a lot of feedback
- 18 and interference from background noise.
- MS. KIPLIN: The purpose for us coming here
- 20 | today is to present this new product. These shutter cards,
- 21 | by the way, that The Second Bite has, they've already been
- 22 approved by the Lottery, by the Lottery Commission.
- MS. GREEN: What has?
- 24 MS. KIPLIN: The shutter cards. This has
- 25 | been, you know, just like bingo paper has to be approved --

```
MS. GREEN: Well, that was one -- my -- one of
 1
 2
    my questions.
                    MS. KIPLIN: Yeah.
 3
                    MS. GREEN: Is that why can I not use this
 4
 5
    right now?
                    MS. KIPLIN: Well, because I think the -- it -
 6
 7
    - the staff would prefer undertaking a rulemaking, because of
    the customer account component. That's why. And you know,
 8
 9
    there are customer accounts, obviously in the card-minding
10
    device rules. I've had that question before.
11
                    I've reviewed the statutes and I've reviewed
12
    the rules, and I don't see any prohibition, but I think the
13
    idea is let's be transparent about our business. Let's give
14
    people an opportunity to comment and weigh in. That's --
15
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: So basically, Melodye, what
16
    she's saying is, the shutter cards themselves are already
17
    approved.
18
                    MS. GREEN:
                                Uh-huh.
19
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: The back end of the system is
20
21
                    MS. KIPLIN: Yeah, the customer.
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: -- not yet approved and there
22
23
    would have to be a petition for rulemaking to get that back
24
    end system approved. And in order to do that, they would
```

```
MS. KIPLIN: -- we're committed to doing that.
 1
 2
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH:
                                    Yes.
                    MS. KIPLIN: The idea was to come and present
 3
    the concept. I plan on submitting the draft rulemaking
 4
 5
    petition and the draft rule, because I want to solicit
    feedback --
 6
 7
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Sure.
 8
                    MS. KIPLIN: -- from the staff. And so I plan
 9
    on doing that.
10
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH:
                                    Okay.
11
                    MS. KIPLIN: Very, very soon.
12
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Excellent.
1.3
                    MS. KIPLIN: And the idea for us, obviously,
14
    you know, we are requesting your support and your action to
1.5
    recommend the Commission initiate the rulemaking process at
16
    the August meeting. But I understand, you know, you want to
17
    see the draft and we're going to do that.
18
                    We'll -- once I work through just getting
19
    feedback, because I think it's really important. I don't
20
    want to be the only drafter. You know, I --
21
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Right.
22
                    MS. KIPLIN: -- may be pretty good, but I'm
23
                So it's --
    not great.
24
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, don't --
25
```

```
MS. KIPLIN: -- important to me to get --
 1
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: -- sell yourself short.
 2
 3
                    MS. KIPLIN: Huh?
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Don't sell yourself short.
 5
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yeah.
                    MS. KIPLIN: Well, it's important to me to get
 6
 7
    the feedback.
 8
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: We appreciate that.
                                                          As
 9
    people in the industry, we love transparency, and we really
    do --
10
11
                    MS. KIPLIN:
                               Right.
12
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: -- appreciate that.
13
                    MS. KIPLIN: And we've committed to doing
14
    that. To -- I'm sorry --
15
                    MR. MARTIN: When you get through, I've got --
16
                    MS. KIPLIN: Perfect.
17
                   MR. MARTIN: -- another question for you.
18
                    MS. KIPLIN: Okay. I'm almost done and I'm
19
    not trying to belabor the deal, but so Rob Dow, he is the
20
    principal behind The Second Bite, and he and I had a Zoom
21
    meeting. LaDonna Castañuela was nice enough, good enough,
22
    receptive enough, to have a Zoom meeting, and we kind of laid
23
    this out. She had an opportunity to look at it and so did
24
    Tyler Vance, they were both on the meeting, and their
25
```

- preference is that we go through a rulemaking. And we're not about doing something that's at odds with the staff preference, may be a bias of mine, but I'm just not about
- So -- so we're going to do that, and I think

 it's a good -- it's a good process, and it will give the

 transparency and people can weigh in and provide comment.

I'm planning on trying to get the petition for rulemaking filed with the Commission, with the General Counsel's office, no later than the end of June. They're going to need time, I don't want to jam them up. It irritated me when people did that when I was the General Counsel, so I'm not trying to jam anybody up. We do have a 60 day clock regrettably, that's by statute. The Commission has to act, either deny the rulemaking petition or initiate rulemaking, within 60 days of the date of the filing.

So knowing that we're going to be having an August -- I think it's August 10th, I may be wrong, Commission meeting.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yeah, it is.

MS. KIPLIN: I'm not trying to, you know, jam up the staff, but at the same time, we have to walk a balance on timelines and make sure that they have enough time to get it on the agenda, having reviewed it, you know, put it in the

that.

1 Commissioners' notebooks and so forth. So we're trying to 2 manage both ends of that clock.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: So if I may, Tyler and LaDonna, let me make sure I understand this process correctly. When they petition for rulemaking, which they obviously are, it will come through the BAC and we would vote to support or not support it; is that correct?

MR. VANCE: Yeah. So the petition will come in to the staff and it will -- we'll have to present it at the next Commission meeting, which will be the day after y'all's BAC. So ideally, we'll get it to you guys before your committee meeting the day before, and you can vote on it at that point to kind of preliminarily approve of it. It's going to come back to you anyway, because, so it -- if the next day the Commissioners say, okay, we're going to take up this petition for rulemaking and start the process, then we're going to propose the rule, in which case it's going to come back to you guys anyway for definitive approval or rejection of it.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay.

MR. VANCE: But I think at this stage, what she's asking for and what we would appreciate, too, is kind of a preliminarily when this petition gets presented to the Commissioners the next day, you know, they would like to know

what the BAC thinks in general of it. And of course, it can change between that point and the final adoption point. It's going to come back to you again.

But yeah, I think they would like your perspective on the basic idea of it.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Absolutely.

MS. KIPLIN: So my -- my intent is to once we go through and I impose as much as Tyler will want me -- will let me impose on him, but once we do that and I get some other folks that are in the industry to take a look at it, and we're good to go, then I'm going to want to get in your hands, that -- that rule and the rulemaking petition. And I'm not planning on waiting and springing on you the day before your meeting. I'd like to get it done if I can, just shooting a timeline, no later than maybe the first couple of weeks of July. And then you are free to distribute among your members.

And you know, if any one individual has a question, I'm happy to answer it, the petitioners are happy to answer it, and then my client Second Bite is happy to answer it.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Awesome.

MS. KIPLIN: But we're going to make sure that everybody's got as much information as we can provide, maybe

```
more than you want, but we're not trying to pull the wool
 1
 2
    over anybody's eyes or play fast one on this.
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well --
 3
                    MS. KIPLIN: Okay.
 4
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: -- your integrity is above
 5
    reproach. It always has been with me. So I -- I definitely
 6
 7
    believe you when you say that.
 8
                    MS. KIPLIN: Oh, yeah. Yeah.
 9
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: I don't think that crossed --
10
                    MS. KIPLIN:
                                 Okay.
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: -- crossed our minds, so.
11
12
                    MS. KIPLIN: So just a little nuance.
                                                           I need
13
    to let you know, because I don't want any surprises.
14
    client is a company that is, like I said, it's licensed by a
15
    Texas state agency, licensed by this agency. It's Canadian.
16
    It does not have a location in the state of Texas.
17
                    The Administrative Procedures Act section that
18
    outlines rulemaking petitions was changed I think a couple of
19
    sessions ago, so that he is no longer an interested person
20
    for purposes of filing the rulemaking petition because he is
21
    not a resident of Texas. He does not have a location in
22
    Texas. He's not a business in Texas. So he has no standing.
2.3
24
                    My personal view is that's unconstitutional,
```

1 but nobody wants to go filing lawsuits, you know, against the

2 \mid AG on constitutionality. We just want to -- we want to get

3 on down the road. So we've reached out to some folks that

are licensed distributors that are located in Texas, and

5 | they've agreed to be petitioners. Two of them are here

6 today; Goodtime Action, Glenn Goulet, is here, and then Roy

7 Bingo Supplies of Texas, Van Myers, is here. The other

8 | petitioner is Moore Bingo Supplies, and he could not be here,

9 his schedule just was not going to be able to allow for that.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

questions.

Yes, sir.

I'm trying to figure out --

4

So I just want you to know, you know, when you get it, who's petitioners, why my client cannot be. And I think that's about it for me in terms of a presentation. And if anybody has any questions, I'm happy to try to answer any

MR. MARTIN: I know, I just heard you say you don't want to really get into the prizes, but when I watched that video, it talked about \$50 games, then they talked about pari-mutuel. And so I think it would enhance a lot of people's knowledge and, you know, I'm for anything brand new. But I would like to know if you can enlighten me on parimutuel. Is that going to go against your cap, the \$50 games?

MS. KIPLIN: Sure.

1 MR. MARTIN: -- whether that would be 2 profitable in our hall or some other hall.

MS. KIPLIN: Sure. Happy to answer that. And Rob, I don't know if you're on the phone. I know I've asked you to mute your phone, so it may take you a bit to get off, and then the distributors are here, but it's pari-mutuel because it's realtime tracking the wagers. So at some point they call it and that's it. That's all the money that's going for that particular game's prize.

If you want to, you know, obviously, you can't go over the cap of a per game, but it -- so that's the parimutuel part, if you want to do that, but you're going to have to manage that in your own hall. This game is really intended really more for the \$50 caps. We think it's low risk, you know, in terms of that; you'll probably have more sales than that. If you don't, well then, you're -- it's going to go pari-mutuel below \$50. But the prize -- but that's -- that's determined, in my view, you guys step on me if you think I'm wrong, but I think that's determined by the charity themselves on how they want to actually play this game.

Does that answer your question?

CHAIRMAN SMITH: I think what she's saying is, is you can set it up any way you want to. Isn't that pretty

```
47
    know, I've seen there's three cards you can buy.
 1
 2
                                Yes, sir.
                    MS. KIPLIN:
                    MR. MARTIN: Three cards. So you can set the
 3
    prize, whatever, $5, $10, whatever you want to.
 4
 5
                    MS. KIPLIN: Well, the charity is setting the
 6
    prize money.
 7
                    MR. MARTIN: Yes.
 8
                    MS. KIPLIN: Yeah, that's correct. Yeah.
 9
    Yeah.
10
                    MR. MARTIN: I think that'd be great.
                                                           Like
11
    some of the smaller American Legion halls.
12
                    MS. KIPLIN: Uh-huh.
1.3
                    MR. MARTIN: Probably VFW halls as well.
14
                    MS. KIPLIN: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
15
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. So, I guess what
16
    we need to do is formally put this on the agenda for the next
17
    meeting if everybody's in agreeance on that.
                    MR. MARTIN: So moved.
18
19
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. All right. Good deal.
20
                    MS. KIPLIN: And so, just to close out, we
21
    will be seeking Bingo Advisory Committee action in the August
22
    meeting, but we will get you the drafts. I'll just, you
23
    know, just say it.
24
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: I think that's anything -- I
```

```
MR. BOURGOYNE: I've never tried.
 1
 2
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yeah, we -- I needed an
    updated copy of it and I wasn't able to do that. Is there a
 3
    way that maybe we could change that on the website to where
 5
    we could just print out the whole new administrative rule?
    Anybody?
 6
 7
                    MR. BOURGOYNE: I think there's two places you
 8
    can click on the rules. One takes you to the individual
 9
    sections and one is the whole rule package, if I'm not
10
    mistaken, on the website.
11
                    MR. DUNCAN: I think you're right.
12
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Maybe I just missed it.
13
                    MR. BOURGOYNE: There's two places you can
    click on to access administrative rules. One of them will
14
15
    give you the entire rules, one of them will give you the
16
    sections that you have to click on, and then you would be
17
    printing those sections out. I think there's two places.
18
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. We'll check that, but
19
    we couldn't find the the whole book, but we'll look at it and
20
    make sure.
21
                    MR. BOURGOYNE: I'm pretty sure.
22
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: The only other thing that
23
    I've got on new business is I've been receiving a lot of
24
    flyers from bingo halls doing some pretty outrageous --
```

```
outrageous things. And not that I think they're wrong or
 1
    bad, but I'm sure everybody's seen stackable game --
 2
    stackable $50 deals. I don't really quite understand it very
 3
    much, but --
 4
 5
                    MS. URIEGAS: Cards?
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes.
 6
 7
                    MS. URIEGAS: One-offs?
 8
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes. And they're -- you
 9
    know, when you add them up, it's a thousand or $2,500 or in a
10
    particular game. I know that I've had several people call me
11
    about that asking me, hey, is this legal? Is this legal?
12
    And I have no idea. But just to let the staff know that
13
    there's -- those -- they're -- we're getting calls on that.
14
    Maybe they could look into that or something.
15
                    MR. MARTIN: Well, I thought we crossed that
16
    bridge here several years ago, so maybe Tyler knows about
17
    that.
18
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: About what specifically?
19
                    MR. MARTIN: Whether stacking them $50 games,
20
    the -- where you've got 20 sheets and you're playing for a
    thousand bucks.
21
22
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Uh-huh.
23
                    MR. MARTIN: I thought they had already had a
24
    ruling on that at one time, whether that was illegal or
```

```
51
 1
    something.
 2
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: I don't know.
 3
                    MR. VANCE: Yes. I'm pretty sure there's been
    a ruling on this that -- what they're trying to do is like
 4
 5
    one guy wins like 20 $50 prizes all at one time, right?
    that's the -- it -- they have to be distinct prizes.
 6
 7
                    You know, I don't really have more details on
 8
    that, but I know this has come up in enforcement before and
 9
    that we've determined that it's -- yeah, it's improper. It's
    a violation.
10
11
                    MR. FENOGLIO:
                                   Is there a complaint now?
12
                    MR. VANCE: If there was, I couldn't tell you,
13
    but I'm not sure, honestly.
14
                    MS. URIEGAS: Improper meaning illegal?
15
                    MR. VANCE: No, it just means that it's not
    it's -- it wouldn't -- it -- no -- not necessarily.
16
17
                    MS. GREEN: Asking for a friend.
18
                   MR. VANCE: Yeah. I --
19
                   MS. URIEGAS: I want to follow --
20
                    MR. VANCE: Not necessarily, just depends how
21
    it's accounted for. If they're accounting for it, like it's
22
    a bunch of $50 prizes and it's in fact, one giant $1,500
    prize, then their accounting is where -- probably where the
23
```

violation has occurred.

24

```
CHAIRMAN SMITH: Exactly. Okay.
 1
 2
                    MS. GREEN: Why are you saying that if you
    have -- if the cards are set -- I'm not doing this, so.
 3
                    MR. BOURGOYNE: Are you asking for a friend?
 4
 5
                    MS. GREEN:
                               I'm not doing this -- yeah. No, I
    -- but if you're stacking those and they are all different
 6
 7
    serial numbers and different -- why are you saying they're
 8
    illegal?
 9
                    MR. VANCE:
                                I --
10
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: I don't think that's what
11
    he's -- I don't think that's what he's saying.
12
                    MS. GREEN: Well, it's improper.
1.3
                   MR. DUNCAN: He's not saying --
14
                   MR. VANCE: No, from what --
1.5
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yeah.
16
                    MR. VANCE: -- and you know, I'd really need a
17
    specific example. From what I remember of this, it was some
18
    kind of arrangement where they would divert a bunch of $50
19
    prizes to one person. It wasn't like an actual game in which
20
    everybody had a chance to win each one of those $50 prizes.
21
    It was structured in a way so that one person was quaranteed
22
    to win all of them. And so we determined that that was in
23
    fact one single prize. It was -- it wasn't 10 or 20 distinct
24
    games or 10 or 20 distinct prizes, that the way the hall was
25
```

- running it, it was one prize, and so it needed to be

 accounted for as one prize, which was way over 50 bucks and

 counts against their daily limit.
 - CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, I just -- I really didn't want to cause a big circus here. I just wanted to bring that up to staff and let them know that that's what people in the BAC are starting to hear and see in the industry, so.
 - MR. VANCE: Yeah. And I know that we've heard of it before and we -- in the past, we've treated it as I just described, that if, you know, you can't -- you can't trick your way around the \$50 prize limit.
 - CHAIRMAN SMITH: Maybe if staff could come out with some sort of maybe, I don't know what you'd call it, a directive maybe, and kind of guide people a little bit on that, because I think that's -- I think there's some halls that are doing it that are --
- MS. URIEGAS: There's a lot of them.
- 19 CHAIRMAN SMITH: -- adversely affecting other
 20 halls that are trying to do it the right way. And it's
 21 difficult for them to stay in business. So maybe if we could
 22 have some help from staff to clarify that stuff, it would be
 23 greatly appreciated.
- MS. URIEGAS: Can I have a little bit of

- clarification? I'm seeing -- well, because I'm seeing this
 and there's like the one on 10 up that are all the same cars,
 but there's also different ways that have different card. So
 does that matter if the cards are all different or -- I'm
 just trying to make sure I understand.

 MR. VANCE: It -- no, I think it's -- it's
 really -- it's kind of the like the intent of the process and
 - really -- it's kind of the like the intent of the process and the design of the process. If it's designed so that one person wins all these different games all at once, then we're not going to treat them like they're really different games.

 You know, like I said, you can't trick your way around this.

MS. URIEGAS: Gotcha.

- MR. VANCE: By like, oh, here's 20 cards that are all winners, right? And -- or however you try to do it. If it's clearly trying to skirt the limits or, you know, the requirement that a game, a single game and a single prize to a single person be \$50 or less, then we're going to treat it like -- like it's not.
- MS. URIEGAS: So I have a question, because I know some electronics are already doing that. And so, how is that different?
- MR. VANCE: I'd have to see that one specifically.
- MS. URIEGAS: It's something that's been

25

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

```
approved by the Lottery Commission so -- and people have it
 1
    in their halls. So that's -- I'm just trying to understand,
 2
    because I went to a hall outside of Austin that was doing
 3
    something similar. And it -- the intention was to win an
 4
 5
    extra $750, not against their 2,500.
                    MR. VANCE: Yeah. I'd have to see it again.
 6
 7
    There's nothing illegal about giving somebody 20 $50 prizes.
    The violation occurs when you don't account for it the right
 8
 9
    way. So the -- there's nothing wrong with these games per
10
    se, it's how they treat them in their quarterly reports.
11
                    MR. MARTIN: In other words, if it walks like
12
    a duck and quacks like a duck.
13
                    MS. URIEGAS: Well, and I get that, but my
14
    question is, this is something that the product has already
15
    been approved by the Lottery for that intended purpose.
16
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Sure.
17
                    MS. URIEGAS: So that's what my question is,
18
    because --
19
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, I think that would have
20
    to be --
21
                    MS. URIEGAS: -- if something --
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: -- a specific case that they
22
23
    would have to take a look at. I don't know that Tyler could
24
```

```
MS. URIEGAS: But it -- but I've seen it in
 1
 2
    another city, not in Austin, that they were saying that,
 3
    well, this has been approved and this is how we're doing it,
    and it's going to pay --
 4
 5
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: So you're saying somebody --
                    MS. URIEGAS: -- seven --
 6
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: -- may unknowingly do this?
 7
 8
                    MS. URIEGAS: Right. Somebody might
 9
    unknowingly not know that they're playing these games for
10
    750. I mean, however many games that is.
11
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Gotcha.
12
                    MS. URIEGAS: But they're recording it on the
13
    right end, but the intent was to do it for 750. So that's my
14
    concern is like --
15
                    MR. VANCE: Right.
16
                    MS. URIEGAS: -- how do I know as a consumer?
17
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Then that probably goes to
18
    maybe if y'all could sometime soon maybe give out a directive
19
    or something or?
20
                    MR. VANCE: Sure.
21
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Just something to throw on
22
    your radar.
23
                    MR. DUNCAN: This is Tommy Duncan. I got one
24
    question. Now we know for a fact there's been a formal
25
```

```
complaint filed because an investigator went to one of the
 1
 2
            So do we have to wait till that finishes before you
 3
    can comment on that or?
                    MR. VANCE: Yeah.
 4
 5
                    MR. DUNCAN: Yeah. Okay.
                   MS. URIEGAS: Oh.
 6
                    MR. VANCE: Unless you're a party to it, but.
 7
 8
                   MR. DUNCAN: No.
 9
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: No? Okay. All right.
10
    Is there any other new business? Okay. All right.
11
                            AGENDA ITEM 12
12
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Let's look at
13
    Item Number 11, and set the date for the next meeting.
14
                    MR. DUNCAN: Somebody said the 10th. Is that
15
    what it is or ours the 9th?
16
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yeah, 10th of August.
17
                    MR. MARTIN: When's the next Commissioners --
18
                    MR. DUNCAN: That would be the 10th, the --
19
    Wednesday's the 10th.
20
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Commissioners meeting is on
    the 10th.
21
22
                    MR. DUNCAN: Oh, so --
23
                   MR. MARTIN: 10th of August?
24
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes, sir.
25
```

```
CHAIRMAN SMITH: And then the 11th will be at
 1
 2
    the new building?
                    MS. CASTAÑUELA: Nope. That'll be here, too.
 3
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: That'll be here, too? Oh,
 4
 5
    okay. Alright. Well, just -- I'm good with those dates.
    Just for the record, if anybody's been having issues with
 6
 7
    timing from the staff, please note that they have been in the
    process of moving for way longer than they anticipated. And
 8
 9
    LaDonna was kind enough to show me around a little bit this
10
    morning about how far they've made it. And God bless y'all
11
    is all I can say. I know LaDonna's been struggling to move,
12
    so y'all please be patient with them. They're doing what
1.3
    they can.
14
                    MR. MARTIN: So we'll be here?
15
                    MR. DUNCAN: Yeah.
16
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: We'll be here.
17
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes, sir.
18
               (Meeting adjourned)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
61
                         CERTIFICATE
 1
 2
    STATE OF TEXAS
                         )
    COUNTY OF HARRIS
 3
                         )
 4
               I, Kimberly C. McCright, Certified Vendor and
 5
    Notary in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that
    the above-mentioned matter occurred as hereinbefore set out.
 6
 7
               I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT the proceedings of such were
    reported by me or under my supervision, later reduced to
 8
 9
    typewritten form under my supervision and control and that
10
    the foregoing pages are a full, true and correct
11
    transcription of the original notes.
12
               IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and
13
    seal this 17th day of June, 2022.
14
15
                         /s/ Kimberly C. McCright
                         Kimberly C. McCright
16
                         Certified Vendor and Notary Public
17
                         Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC
                         17049 El Camino Real, Suite 200
18
                         Houston, Texas 77058
                         281.724.8600
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```